

Summary of Decisions Requested to Proposed Private Plan Change 11: Bardowie Industrial Precinct by Topic

Sept / 2018

Table of Contents

Reader’s Guide.....	5
How to read the summary:.....	5
How to make a further submission	6
Submitter Contact Details	7
Whole of Plan Change 4	11
Part B	16
Section 7 – Industrial Zone	16
Section 14 – Deferred Zone	31
Section 15 - Infrastructure, Hazards, Development and Subdivision.....	32
Section 20 - Health and General Amenity	32
Section 21 - Assessment Criteria and Information Requirements	33
Appendix S1 - Growth Cells, Staging, Preconditions for Release and Infrastructure Requirements	34
Appendix S19 - Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan (new)	35
Appendix 1 – Submission point 13/33.....	43
Appendix 2 – Submission point 18/38.....	45

Reader's Guide

This document is a summary of the 23 submissions received and the relief sought/decision(s) requested. This summary is ordered according to submission topics. This summary helps readers to see all the decisions requested by a topic (e.g. Section 15 Infrastructure, Hazards, Development and Subdivision). If you would like to see all the submissions lodged by submitter on the Plan Change, then refer to "Summary of Decisions Requested to Proposed Private Plan Change 11: Bardowie Industrial Precinct by Submitter".

The summary of submissions was publicly notified on 14 September 2018 for further submissions. The closing date for making further submissions is Friday, 28 September 2018. **No late submissions will be accepted.**

In the summary, every submitter has been allocated a submitter number and each submission point is referenced by a unique number. This whole number (e.g. 11/7) is required to be referenced when you make a further submission. **EXAMPLE:**

Submission 11/7

11 is the submitter number

7 is the submission point number

How to read the summary:

- This summary is ordered by topic. The summary lists all of the submission points made on a particular topic by all the submitters.
- If after looking at this summary you wish to look at all the submission points to a particular submitter then you need to refer to the "Summary of Decisions Requested to Proposed Private Plan Change 11: Bardowie Industrial Precinct by Submitter".
- For your information separate spell checks have been carried out on the Topic and Submitter reports. In the event of there being any discrepancy the "Summary of Decisions Requested to Proposed Private Plan Change 11: Bardowie Industrial Precinct by Topic" will be predominant.

How to make a further submission

People can make a further submission if they represent a relevant aspect of the public interest and/or have an interest in Plan Change 11 greater than the interest of the general public.

A further submission can only be made in support or opposition of matters raised in the submissions. No new points can be raised.

Further submissions should be set out in the format shown in the submission form. Copies of the further submission form are available at Council offices or Libraries at Cambridge and Te Awamutu as well as online at www.waipadc.govt.nz.

In accordance with the Resource Management Act 1991 a copy of the further submission must be sent to the person who made the original submission within five (5) working days of sending the further submission to the Waipa District Council. To assist you with this, an address list of all submitters is included in this report.

Submissions can be:

Posted to: Waipa District Council
Private Bag 2402
Te Awamutu 3840

Delivered to: Waipa District Council – Te Awamutu Office
101 Bank Street
Te Awamutu

Delivered to: Waipa District Council – Cambridge Office
23 Wilson Street
Cambridge

Emailed to: submissions@waipadc.govt.nz

Online: waipadc.govt.nz/haveyoursay

Submitter Contact Details

Submitter Name	Submitter's Contact Details	Submission Number
Anglesea Properties Limited	Attn: Louise Feathers [REDACTED]	8
Bardowie Investments Limited	C/- Mitchell Daysh Limited Attn: Mark Chrisp [REDACTED]	18
Cambridge Chamber of Commerce	Attn: Tania Witheford [REDACTED]	21
Cambridge Community Board	C/- Waipa District Council Attn: Mike Pettit [REDACTED]	20
Delwyn Smith	[REDACTED]	6
Fire and Emergency NZ	C/- Beca Ltd Attn: Stephanie Dean [REDACTED]	10
Fonterra Limited	C/- Beca Ltd Attn: Craig Sharman [REDACTED]	11
Future Proof Implementation Committee	Attn: Bill Wasley [REDACTED]	12
Geoffrey Laurent	[REDACTED]	1

Submitter Name	Submitter's Contact Details	Submission Number
Giltrap Buildings Ltd	Attn: Andrew Giltrap [REDACTED]	4
Hamilton City Council	Attn: Loren Brown [REDACTED]	17
Hefin Davies	C/- Burton Planning Consultants Ltd Attn: Georgina McPherson [REDACTED]	9
Henmar Trust	Attn: Mary Bourke [REDACTED]	13
Heritage New Zealand	Attn: Carolyn McAlley Lower Northern Area Office [REDACTED]	19
Jennie Gainsford	[REDACTED]	23
Jonathan Brewer	[REDACTED]	3
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Attn: Pam Butler [REDACTED]	14
Ngaati Kokori-Kahukura	Attn: Poto Davies [REDACTED]	16
Ogle Enterprises Ltd	Attn: Neville Ogle [REDACTED]	2

Submitter Name	Submitter's Contact Details	Submission Number
Stephen Brown	[REDACTED]	5
Transland Developments 2009 Ltd	Attn: Kevin Honiss [REDACTED]	15
Waikato Regional Council	Attn: Andrew Tester [REDACTED]	7
Waipa District Council	Attn: David Totman [REDACTED]	22

Whole of Plan Change 4

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission Point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary)	Decision requested
Access and Rail Corridor	14/1	Oppose in part	Kiwi Rail is concerned about the impact of the proposed industrial precinct on the existing rail corridor between Hautapu and Cambridge. The traffic assessment and access proposal do not provide adequate assessment or consideration of the future use of the rail corridor. If a temporary lease is provided for the southern access, how does this impact on the northern access if the southern access is closed. The loss of the railway corridor may have impacts on the community.	The southern access be deleted. Or as a secondary relief, if an agreement is reached for a temporary southern access, then the plan change incorporates specific measures to provide for the future closure of the southern access and measure for the future impacts and operation of the northern access.
Alignment to RPS	17/2	Support in part	There is no clear rule framework for the plan change and the wider Hautapu area to align growth with the RPS industrial provisions.	Adequate rules and policies should be included to ensure alignment with the RPS; OR that the proponent of the plan change make an assessment of the alternative land release criteria contained in the RPS, including any expert reports that may be required to satisfy this requirement.
Campus Hub	15/1	Support in part	General support for plan change and social and economic benefits that this will bring. The 16ha campus Hub seems large and needs further consideration in relation to existing town centres.	Support plan change, all for Industrial.
General	1/1	Support	Fully supports the proposal. Extra industrial land is needed urgently in this area.	Approve the plan change as submitted.
General	2/1	Support		No decision requested
General	3/1	Support	Support the submission as the development of Cambridge is being severely restrained by lack of industrial land.	That suitable access points to this area be made along with the development of traffic roundabouts to access Hannon Rd / State Highway 1B.
General	4/1	Support	We support this plan change.	No decision requested
General	5/1	Support	I purchased land in that area some time ago to allow my company to expand - Branderson Homes - and this was my preferred location. The expansion may not take place but I wish to develop the block on Hautapu Road into smaller Lots that will be suitable for small commercial businesses and hence take some pressure from the Carters Flat area. Provided the Council continues with Plan Change 6 and doesn't consider the Bardowie Proposal as providing an	Please rezone the area to the East of Peake Road and South of Hautapu Road to Industrial.

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission Point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary)	Decision requested
			adequate supply of industrial land for the area (and hence delay the rezoning of the area I've described), I have no objection to the Bardowie Proposal.	
General	6/1	Oppose	Victoria Road is an important entrance point to Cambridge characterised by green fields and large trees. This is part of Cambridge's character. I do not wish this to become like Morrinsville with the long industrial area to drive through. This would ruin the amenity of Cambridge. the noise may affect existing residences. Parking and public transport has not been discussed publicly. Nor has water supply. Cambridge is already at the top of it water take and groundwater is used by many in area.	As I share the same water table and we only have bore water, I would like: 1 - That water take from groundwater, not impinge on existing bores, whether in Waipa or Waikato District Council. 2 - Amenity value needs to remain high, with large trees and green space. 3 - Public transport for workers with be considered in transport plan. 4 - Noise will be controlled to be safe for workers / residents.
General	8/1	Support in part	Supports mixed use approach to planning framework which can improve business efficiency as well as providing support wellbeing and productivity of employees.	Approve Plan Change
General	11/1	Support in part	The Hautapu Dairy manufacturing Site is a regionally significant activity located just a short distance north west of the site. Fonterra generally support the plan change however it does have concerns regarding any activities which could affect the ongoing operation of the Hautapu Dairy manufacturing Site.	Amendments to plan change as detailed in following submission points.
General	12/1	Support in part	Future Proof supports plan change as it will release a key strategic industrial node. Further analysis of how the plan change aligns with the Regional Policy Statement (RPS) is sought.	Provide additional analysis of the staging of the precinct and the RPS (Table 6-2)
General	18/1	Support	BIL supports the whole of Proposed Plan Change 11 in relation to the objectives, policies, rules, zone maps, entire Structure Plan (text and the map) and the Section 32 Analysis.	Retain the objectives, policies, rules, maps, Structure Plan and Section 32 Analysis to enable the development of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct, except where otherwise requested by this submission.
General	21/1	Support	The Chamber of Commerce supports the plan change and will provide positive opportunities in terms of job creation and investment. The campus approach may ease some of the pressure on the existing CBD.	Support Plan Change and seeks information on the connectivity to the existing CBD.
General	22/1	Support in part	Overall, Waipa District Council supports the proposed industrial development of an enlarged growth cell C10 east of Hautapu. Council is satisfied that the development can be	Support Plan Change with amendments to give effect the submission.

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission Point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary)	Decision requested
			established with high standards of urban design and amenity. This general support is subject to clarification and refinement of the following specific submission points.	
General	23/1	Support in part	I can see advantages for a Conference Centre and accommodation. However, as retail activity goes - do we really need to split a tow further. Please no more sushi, pizza places....	I think Council needs to be very prudent about what will be allowed in this area.
General - Existing Fire Provisions within District Plan	10/1	Support	Fire and Emergency NZ support the plan change on the basis that the existing District Plan provisions set specific rule mechanisms and policies for fire-fighting waster supply and access and the plan change is not proposing to alter or remove the existing provisions.	Approve plan change.
General - Plan Change 6	9/1	Oppose in part	The submitters owns three properties along Victoria Road which are opposite the proposed industrial precinct. The submitter also has participated in the recent Plan Change 6 relating to the Hautapu Structure Plan. The submitters seeks assurance that any changes adopted by Plan Change 11 reflect and incorporate the decisions on Plan Change 6.	Amendments to plan change which address submission points
General - Traffic	9/2	Oppose in part	The assessment of traffic effects and mitigation measures do not adequately assess the impacts on the properties located along the western side of Victoria Road and the southern access should be altered or amended in terms of its location.	Amendments to plan change which address submission points
Iwi engagement and Cultural matters	16/1	Support in part	Ngaati Koroki-Kahukura acknowledge the consultation to date and require: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continuation of meaningful engagement; • Development of a relationship arrangement, between tangata whenua and the applicant; • Progression of outstanding matters, parallel to the plan change and consenting process; • Identification of critical decision-making stages and inclusion of Ngaati Koroki-Kahukura in the programme for development of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct. Specific conditions and frameworks are required through the development of the industrial precinct including the need for betterment of the Waikato River. Other matters concern the existing borrow pits located on the site, and other matters	Retain with amendments to give effect the submission.

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission Point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary)	Decision requested
			such as provisions for spray irrigation and public transport. Further details are to be presented for inclusion with the S.42A report.	
Provisions for recognising and protecting archaeological sites	19/1	Support in part	Heritage NZ acknowledges the archaeological assessment which has been completed for the site and the presence of sites which have been identified. Heritage NZ recommends that archaeological sites are avoided at the time of development and that the identified sites should be recorded on the planning maps.	That the planning maps are updated to show the identified sites, and A site development plan is prepared to ensure that future development avoids the identified sites and that this plan becomes part of the structure plan.
Rezoning of land to Industrial Zone	20/1	Support	The Community Board supports the rezoning of 30ha of Deferred Industrial and 26.7ha of Rural Land to Industrial Zone. This will have significant community and economic benefits for the region and is consistent with the Cambridge Growth Strategy.	Approve the rezoning to Industrial Zone.
Transportation - Connectivity to other land	13/2	Oppose in part	Oppose the lack of traffic and service connectivity to the adjoining land to the north, owned by the submitter, and located within Growth Cell C8 as currently shown in Appendix S1 of the Waipa District Plan. The servicing of the submitter's area needs to be taken into consideration and accounted for at the time of negotiating and preparing the Development Agreement between Council and the developer to specify all those items of infrastructure that are required to be upgraded at full or partial cost of the developer. Oppose lack of consideration of any actual or potential adverse environmental effects on adjoining properties and on the adjoining Rural Zone. Any actual or potential adverse effects should be mitigated internally within the proposed Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area. Parts of the proposed plan change are contrary to the objectives and policies of the Waipa District Plan, in particular Objective 7.3.3, and Policies 7.3.3.1 and 7.3.3.2 of the Waipa District Plan.	Council accept the submitters proposed amendments to Proposed Plan Change 11 – Bardowie Industrial Precinct.
Zoning of the site for industrial development and use	7/1	Support	Based on the strategic land use direction of the WRPS, location, and history of being identified as an industrial growth cell, it is considered that overall this site is	Retain as notified (subject to any specific relief identified below).

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission Point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary)	Decision requested
			<p>appropriate for industrial development and is consistent with the intent of the WRPS land use and settlement patterns.</p> <p>Land immediately to the west has recently been subject to a proposed plan change process (WDC PC6), which would make an area of approximately 100ha of land available for industrial development. PC11 seeks to add an additional 56.7ha of industrial land at Hautapu. If approved, these two plan changes would bring the total amount of land available for industrial development at Hautapu to almost 157ha. This figure exceeds the 96ha that the WRPS' Table 6-2 Future Proof industrial land allocation identifies for Hautapu in the long term (to 2061).</p> <p>Implementation Method 6.14.3 of the WRPS provides criteria for consideration of an alternative land release to that indicated in Table 6-2. These criteria relate to maintenance and enhancement of existing and planned infrastructure, justification of the exceedance of the allocation through robust and comprehensive evidence, timely, affordable availability of land and maintenance of the benefits of committed infrastructure investments; and consistency with the development principles contained in the SRPS's Section 6A Development Principles.</p> <p>Supporting the alternative land release criteria in Implementation Method 6.14.3, the material provided with PC11 identifies the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. While the proposed PC6 land to the west provides for additional industrial land, approximately 50ha is privately owned and current unavailable for development; b. Recent analysis by Future Proof and WDC to meet requirements of the NPS on Urban Development Capacity (NPS-UDC) has highlighted that there is likely to be a shortage of industrial land in the area in the long-term, and that the area identified in the WRPS is insufficient; c. The PC11 area has been identified in the Waipa 2050 Growth Strategy since 2009 for future industrial development (noting a reduction in the area as part of the 2017 update of the Growth Strategy), and part of the site is zoned for future 	

Summary of Decisions Requested to Proposed Private Plan Change 11: Bardowie Industrial Precinct by Topic

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission Point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary)	Decision requested
			industrial use, with the remaining Rural Zone land immediately adjacent; and d. A developer agreement will be entered in to between WDC and the developer to ensure that the costs of infrastructure to service the development can be managed. Concern regarding the management of stormwater has been identified and a submission point is included to capture this. Based on the above mentioned, detail the criteria for alternative land release are largely being met.	

Part B

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
Definitions	18/41	Support in part	BIL is proposing that a new definition for “Innovation and Advanced Technology Activities” be inserted into the Waipa District Plan. This definition is necessary as BIL is seeking that these activities be permitted across the Bardowie Industrial Precinct. As the definition is specific to the Bardowie Industrial Precinct (in that it is only referenced in this context) there are no district wide implications of inserting this new definition.	Insert a new definition into the Waipa District Plan, as follows: <u>Innovation and Advanced Technology Activities– Includes all activities involved in the research, development, manufacture and commercial application of advanced technology including, but not limited to, information technology, energy technology, manufacturing technology, materials technology, software development, telecommunications, data storage, data management and processing, infrastructure systems and management, and activities required to serve those activities.</u>

Section 7 – Industrial Zone

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
Issue 7.2.11	11/2	Support	Applying the Hautapu Industrial Structure Plan issue statements to also include the Bardowie Industrial Precinct is supported given the geographical proximity of the two areas and ensuring consistency of plan provisions between the two	Retain

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
			areas.	
Issue 7.2.11	13/4	Support	Agree that the precinct is in a desirable area and that high standards of amenity and servicing are required	Retain as notified
Issue 7.2.12	11/3	Support	Development agreements provide a mechanism to ensure the orderly utilisation of infrastructure networks and are therefore supported.	Retain
Issue 7.2.12	13/5	Support in part	Ad hoc development should be avoided and any developer agreement should include servicing for the submitters property.	Amend to read as follows: Hautapu Industrial Structure Plan, and Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Areas <u>and any other Structure Plan Areas located within Growth Cell C8 as currently shown in Appendix S1 of the Waipa District Plan.</u> 7.2.12 A signed development agreement is required before development can proceed in <u>these</u> locations. Ad-hoc development could compromise the potential for the entire area to be effectively serviced.
Issues 7.2.11 and 7.2.12	18/2	Support	BIL supports the amendments to Issues 7.2.11 & 7.2.12 as proposed.	Retain as notified.
Campus Hub	12/4	Support in part	The rules for the Campus Hub including the size, extent and nature of activities allowed need to be reviewed and strengthened.	Retain with amendments to give effect to submission point.
Campus Hub	17/1	Support in part	As a Future Proof partner, Hamilton City Council(HCC) is committed to implementing the settlement pattern and principles contained in the Future Proof Strategy. HCC supports in principle the plan change however it has concerns about the Campus Hub and in particular the lack of definition around the scale and nature of commercial activities that may be established and the potential impacts on the commercial hierarchy.	Changes Suggested: The amount of land within the Campus Zone be reduced to provide for 'ancillary' retail and offices only; The permitted activity table be amended to provide a maximum floor area for retail of 60m2; The permitted activity table be amended to reduce the amount of non-ancillary office space; Proposed Policy 7.3.4.2A be amended as follows: "To enable the development of a Campus Hub within the Bardowie Industrial Precinct that consists of activities such as retail and commercial services such as cafes and lunch bars ...to service employees and the business needs of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct"

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
Campus Hub	20/2	Oppose in part	The scale and nature of the Campus Hub is opposed as this area is approximately one-half of the existing Cambridge CBD. The size and nature of the Campus Hub may have serious impacts on the existing Cambridge commercial area and the Campus Hub cannot be allowed to develop into a retail park.	The following amendments are recommended: That further definition of the spatial extent of the Campus area particularly in regard to any retail areas be required, That the Campus area featuring supportive commercial and retail activities be limited to 2 1/2 hectares, That designated retail activities be limited to units up to 400 square metres, That the combined area of retail units including service areas be limited to 1 1/4 hectares or 50% of the total Campus Area.
Objective 7.3.4	11/4	Support	Applying the Hautapu Industrial Structure Plan objective to also include the Bardowie Industrial Precinct is supported, to provide a robust framework of objectives to the development of the Hautapu industrial growth cells.	Retain
Objective 7.3.4	18/3	Support	BIL supports the proposed amendments to Objective 7.3.4.	Retain as notified.
Policy 7.3.4	12/2	Support	The policy relating to the development of the Hautapu Industrial Structure Plan and the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan is supported, in particular the requirements set out in (a) to (f). Future Proof encourages the councils, developers and other parties to work together on a joined-up structure plan for the Hautapu area.	Retain Policy 7.4.3
Policy 7.3.4.1A	11/5	Support	The new policy is supported as it provides a robust policy link with the Urban Design and Landscape Guidelines.	Retain
Policy 7.3.4.1A	18/4	Support	BIL supports the inclusion of a new policy to ensure that buildings within the Plan Change area are designed to achieve overall consistency with the Structure Plan guidelines.	Retain as notified.
Policy 7.3.4.2A	11/6	Support	The new policy is supported as it provides a robust policy framework to enable the 'campus hub'. In particular Fonterra supports the final part of the policy which states that the purpose of the 'campus hub' is to service employees and the business needs of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct.	Retain
Policy 7.3.4.2A	12/3	Support in part	The Campus Hub is supported however the size and function of the Campus Hub needs amendment to ensure that it does not adversely affect the commercial hierarchy and the associated RPS policy 6.16(f).	Amend Policy to read: 7.3.4.2A To enable the development of a Campus Hub within the Bardowie Industrial Precinct that consists of activities such as retail activities and commercial services such as cafes and lunch bars, visitor accommodation and a conference centre, child care facilities and a wellness centre (as

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
				described in the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan) to service employees and the business needs of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct, <u>where these are accessory and secondary to the main industrial purpose of the Precinct.</u>
Policy 7.3.4.2A	18/5	Support in part	BIL has proposed amendments to Policy 7.3.4.2A to ensure it enables the appropriate development of the Campus Hub. It is intended that the Campus Hub service both the precinct and the wider industrial area (given the location of the area being on Victoria Road). In addition, BIL is proposing an amendment to make it explicit that the Campus Hub is to be designed to ensure that it does not adversely affect the commercial hierarchy of Cambridge.	Amend Policy 7.3.4.2A as follows: 7.3.4.2A - To enable the development of a Campus Hub within the Bardowie Industrial Precinct that consists of activities such as retail activities and commercial services such as cafes and lunch bars, visitor accommodation and a conference centre, child care facilities and a wellness centre (as described in the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan) to service employees and the business needs of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct <u>and the wider industrial area.</u> <u>The Campus Hub shall not impact the function and vibrancy of the primary commercial centre of Cambridge.</u>
Policy 7.3.4.2A	22/4	Oppose in part	The proposed amendments to Policy 7.3.4.2A are too broad and risks opening the door for direct competition with the Cambridge town centre.	Amend Policy 7.3.4.2A as follows: ' to service employees of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct' ... which deletes the wording ' and business needs' from the policy
Policy 7.3.4.2A Rule 7.4.1.1(v) Rule 7.4.1.2(c)	7/2	Support in part	PC11 identifies that a proportion of the site located within Node 2 will be used for a 'Campus Hub'. The Campus Hub is described within the Structure Plan in more detail, with associated Objective 7.3.4 and Policy 7.3.4.2A both seeking to provide for various activities associated with the Campus Hub (retail, commercial services, visitor accommodation, conference centre, child care facilities and a wellness centre) to service employees and business needs of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct. These provisions are supported by details in the associated Structure Plan, which highlight that the scale of the development will not take up all of Node 2, and will avoid any issues with the commercial hierarchy and overall planning framework for Commercial Zones (S19.2.3).	Amendments to the policy and rule framework are sought to limit the scale of the Campus Hub, to reflect the scope of the Campus Hub as outlined in the Structure Plan.
Policy 7.3.4.3 Buffer Areas	11/7	Support	The amendment to the policy is supported as it ensures that surrounding rural areas are protected through buffer areas.	Retain
Policy 7.3.4.3 Buffer Areas	13/6	Support in part	The Rural Zone must NOT be the buffer to the Industrial Zone. Any mitigation of adverse effects must occur within the	(i) Amend Policy 7.3.4.3 to read as follows: Policy 7.3.4.3 – Buffer Areas

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
			Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area.	To ensure protection of surrounding Rural Areas, by requiring Buffer Areas on Perimeter Sites in the Hautapu Industrial Structural Plan Area and the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area, particularly along Victoria Road (SH1B) and the Cambridge Bypass (Waikato Expressway), <u>and where they adjoin another zone.</u> <u>(ii) Include the following definition for Bardowie Industrial Precinct perimeter site in Part B – Definitions of the District Plan.</u> <u>'Bardowie Industrial Precinct perimeter site' means those SITES located in the Bardowie Industrial Precinct which have a shared boundary with either Victoria Road (SH1B), Cambridge Bypass (Waikato Expressway), or with another zone.</u>
Policies 7.3.4.3 and 7.3.4.4	18/6	Support	BIL supports the proposed amendments	Retain as notified.
Policy 7.3.4.4 Infrastructure	11/8	Support	The amendment to the policy is supported as it provides a robust framework for managing infrastructure servicing in the wider structure plan area.	Retain
Policy 7.3.4.4 Infrastructure	13/7	Support in part	Support on the condition that CONNECTIVITY of Services to the land to the north of Node 3, the submitters property, is included in the area to be effectively serviced and included in the planned provision of public infrastructure.	Amend Policy 7.3.4.4 to read as follows: Policy 7.3.4.4 – Infrastructure To avoid compromising the ability of the area as a whole <u>(including Growth Cell C8 as currently identified in Appendix S1 of the Waipa District Plan)</u> to be effectively serviced and to manage the planned provision of public infrastructure by requiring a development agreement to be in place prior to any development occurring within the Hautapu Area, and the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area <u>and any other Structure Plan Areas located within Growth Cell C8 as currently identified as Appendix S1 in the Waipa District Plan.</u>
Policy 7.3.4.5A Infrastructure	11/9	Support	The policy is supported as it provides the policy framework to support Objective 7.3.4, in respect of landscaping and fencing.	Retain
Policy 7.3.4.5A Infrastructure	13/8	Support in part	Have recommended several amendments to Urban Design and Landscape Guidelines.	Council accept Policy 7.3.4.5A provided Council accept submitters proposed amendments to the Urban Design and Landscape Guidelines.

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
Policy 7.3.4.5A Infrastructure	18/7	Support	BIL supports the inclusion of a new policy to ensure that landscaping and fencing within the Plan Change area are designed to achieve overall consistency with the Structure Plan guidelines.	Retain as notified
Deletion of Policies 7.3.4.6, 7.3.4.7 and 7.3.4.8	11/10	Support	The three policies relate to former proposals for the land subject to PC 11, and are being effectively superseded. Their deletion is supported.	Retain
Policies 7.3.4.6, 7.3.4.7 and 7.3.4.8	18/8	Support	BIL supports the deletion of the provisions in relation to the Hautapu Industrial area to the east of Victoria Road and those in relation to the Hautapu Motorway Service Centre.	Delete the provisions as notified
Rule 7.4.1.1 Permitted Activities	13/9	Support	Relocatable buildings can adversely affect the character and visual amenity of an area and are not considered appropriate in the Bardowie Industrial Precinct.	Accept Rule 7.4.1.1(m) as notified.
Rule 7.4.1.1 Permitted Activities	13/10	Support in part	Reject the permitted activity provisions for stormwater ponds and water treatment facilities.	(ii) Amend Rule 7.4.1.1(s) to read as follows: 7.4.1.1 Permitted Activities (s) Farming activities in the Hautapu Industrial Structure Plan Area, and the Bond Road North Industrial Structure Plan Area, and the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area, until such time as a development agreement has been signed and is in place.
Rule 7.4.1.1 Permitted Activities	13/11	Support in part	Innovative centre is not included in proposed policy 7.3.4.2A and the definition in the Urban Design and Landscaping Guidelines is indecisive. Wellness centre should be defined within the Definitions Sections.	7.4.1.1 Permitted Activities (v) The following activities are permitted activities within the Campus Hub of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct (Appendix S19): (i) Child care and preschool facilities (ii) Wellness centre (iv) Offices with GFA of less than 200m2 (except as provided for by Rule 7.4.1.1(l)) (v) Any other retail activity with a GFA less than 200m2. Include the following definition in Part B – Definitions of the District Plan. 'Wellness centre' means any place or premises used for MEDICAL CENTRE, gymnasium, swimming pool and sports courts.
Deletion of Rule 7.4.1.1(u)	11/13	Support	The deletion of (u) regarding the Motorway Service Centre is supported.	Retain

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
New Rule 7.4.1.1(u)	11/14	Support	The insertion of a new Rule 7.4.1.1 (u) as a permitted activity is supported, in particular the provision for 'farming' and 'spray irrigation of dairy factory wastewater', as these are two existing activities conducted on the land, that given the staging of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct, need to continue to be provided for.	Retain
Rule 7.4.1.1(f)	11/11	Support	The permitted activity rule for 'cafés and takeaways' is supported.	Retain
Rule 7.4.1.1 (f) and (m)	18/9	Support	BIL supports the proposed amendments.	Retain as notified
Rule 7.4.1.1(m)	11/12	Support	The rule regarding relocated buildings is supported.	Retain
Rule 7.4.1.1(u)	7/3	Support in part	<p>Stormwater ponds and/or facilities are listed as a permitted activity with the PC11 area in the rule. This statement should be qualified to say they need to be designed in accordance with the appropriate technical report (i.e. a catchment management plan or other similar approved document).</p> <p>All stormwater management systems within the plan change area will need to be designed in accordance with Waikato Regional Council's Waikato Stormwater Management Guideline and Waikato Stormwater Runoff Modelling Guideline. The stormwater management systems will need to be designed to ensure post-development hydrology remains as close to pre-development hydrology as possible. the stormwater management systems will also need to be designed to avoid or mitigate adverse effects on the receiving effects on the receiving environment including the Mangaone Stream and the groundwater aquifer.</p> <p>Specific investigations undertaken by appropriate experts will be required to demonstrate that the above can be achieved. Regional consents for stormwater discharges from the plan change area will be required.</p> <p><i>(summarised submission)</i></p>	Amend Rule 7.4.1.1(u) to clarify that a stormwater pond and/or facility needs to be designed in accordance with an appropriate technical report or by a suitably qualified professional, and that resource consent would be required for Waikato Regional Council.
Rule 7.4.1.1 (u)	18/10	Support	BIL supports the deletion of the rule in relation to the Hautapu Motorway Service Centre.	Delete the provisions as notified

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
New Rule 7.4.1.1(u)	18/11	Support in part	<p>BIL supports the inclusion of this rule that permits activities within the Bardowie Industrial Precinct. However, some minor amendments are required to ensure the type of activities that fit within the precinct vision are explicitly provided for. It is therefore considered appropriate that a new permitted activity clause be included in new Rule 7.4.11 (u) authorising innovation and advanced technology industries/activities to locate in the Bardowie Industrial Precinct. A definition of “Innovation and Advanced Technology Activities” has been proposed to support the inclusion.</p> <p>BIL also seeks the inclusion motor vehicle and marine (boat) sale facilities as part of the permitted activity rule. It is proposed that these activities are limited to a site area of no more than 7,000 m² to be a permitted activity.</p> <p>BIL considers that these activities are not inappropriate in an industrial area and are consistent with the type of activities envisioned for the precinct.</p>	<p>Amend Rule 7.4.1.1 (u) as follows: <u>In addition to 7.4.1.1 (a) – (t), within the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area the following activities are also permitted:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Stormwater ponds and/or facilities; (ii) Water treatment facilities; (iii) Farming activities; and (iv) Spray Irrigation of dairy factory wastewater. <p><u>(v) Innovation and Advanced Technology Activities; and</u> <u>(vi) Motor vehicle sale yards (including marine/boat sales facilities) each with a site area of no more than 7,000m².</u></p>
Rule 7.4.1.1(v)	11/15	Support	<p>The proposed rule provides for several non-industrial land uses within the ‘campus hub’ only. Fonterra support these activities being restricted to the ‘campus hub’ area only as reverse sensitivity issues would arise if these activities were enabled through the entirety of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct.</p>	Retain
New Rule 7.4.1.1(v)	18/12	Support in part	<p>BIL supports the intent of this the proposed rule, however through consultation with interested parties, BIL has proposed amendments to clarify the intent of (v) in relation to retail activities.</p> <p>BIL has therefore proposed amendments to this rule to make it explicit that the maximum combined ground floor area for retail activities (not already provided for by way of the existing Industrial Zone rules) within the Campus Hub is 400m². As the rule is currently written, and the fact that the spatial area of the Campus Hub has not been defined, the entire extent of Node 2 could be developed in retail activities not exceeding 200m² (each activity). This was not the</p>	<p>Amend Rule 7.4.1.1 (v) as follows: <u>In addition to 7.4.1.1 (a) – (u), the following activities are permitted activities within the Campus Hub of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct (Appendix S19):</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Child care and preschool facilities; (ii) Wellness centre (as defined in the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan); (iii) Innovation centre (as defined in the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan); (iv) Offices with a <u>ground floor GFA</u> of less than 200m² (except as provided for by Rule 7.4.1.1(l)); (v) Any o<u>Other retail activities not otherwise provided for in</u>

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
			<p>intention of BIL when developing Proposed Plan Change 11. BIL also requires an amendment to this rule to ensure that licenced premises (as defined in the Waipa District Plan) can operate within the Campus Hub.</p> <p>BIL has proposed an amendment to this rule to enable education facilities (in addition to childcare and preschool facilities) to establish and located within the Campus Hub of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct. This inclusion is considered appropriate as this type of activity is not out of character with the other activities proposed to be included in the Campus Hub (offices, small scale retail, wellness centre, visitor accommodation, childcare facilities etc).</p> <p>BIL also notes that the extent of the Campus Hub is proposed to be refined (the extent has been refined to 5.5 hectares of the site that adjoins Laurent Road) and is discussed subsequently in this submission.</p>	<p><u>Rule 7.4.1.1</u> with a <u>maximum combined ground floor GFA of less no more than 2400m² within the Campus Hub;</u></p> <p>(vi) <u>A Licenced Premise with a ground floor GFA of no more than 350 m²; and</u></p> <p>(vii) <u>Education Facilities</u></p> <p>BIL is also proposing amendments to the Structure Plan to refine the spatial extent of the Campus Hub (which limits the activities to a much smaller area than currently provided for).</p>
Rule 7.4.1.2 Controlled Activities	13/12	Support in part	<p>Visitor Accommodation Facilities and Conference Facilities both have the potential to create adverse traffic, visual and infrastructure effects. Therefore, these effects, along with any mitigation through landscaping need to be considered when assessing a proposal of this nature.</p>	<p>Reject Rule 7.4.1.2 as notified.</p> <p>Accept submitters amendments to Rule 7.4.1.2 as shown</p> <p>Matters over which Council reserves its control are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Parking. ▪ Consistency with the site layout in the Structure Plan. ▪ Consistency the Urban Design and Landscape Guidelines of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan. ▪ Traffic, including effects on the surrounding network. ▪ Landscaping. ▪ Visual Effects. ▪ Infrastructure. <p>These matters will be considered in accordance with the assessment criteria in Section 21."</p>
Rule 7.4.1.2(a)	11/16	Support	<p>The proposed amendment to the rule is supported as it maintains consistency between Hautapu industrial locations, and makes reasonable provision for cafes and takeaway premises.</p>	<p>Retain.</p>
Rules 7.4.1.2 (a), (c) – deleted And (c) - new	18/13	Support	<p>BIL supports the proposed changes. BIL considers that controlled activity status for visitor accommodation and conference facilities within the Campus Hub of the Bardowie</p>	<p>Retain as notified</p>

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
			Industrial Precinct is appropriate, particularly as this submission is seeking to refine (reduce) the extent of the Campus Hub.	
Deletion of Rule 7.4.1.2(c)	11/17	Support	It is agreed that the rule is being superseded by Plan Change 11.	Delete Rule 7.4.1.2(a)
New Rule 7.4.1.2(c)	11/18	Support	The proposed rule provides for 'visitor accommodation facilities' and 'conference facilities' within the 'campus hub' as a controlled activity. Fonterra support these activities being restricted to the 'campus hub' area only as reverse sensitivity issues would arise if these activities were enabled through the entirety of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct.	Retain.
Rule 7.4.1.3 Restricted Discretionary Activities	13/13	Support in part	This rule does not address the actual or potential adverse effects on the Rural Zone.	Assessment will be restricted to the following matters: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <u>Any actual or potential adverse effects on the local environment, adjoining properties, and adjoining zones.</u> ▪ Adverse effects on the Hautapu Dairy Manufacturing Site due to the discharge of contaminants to air. Advice Note: This rule addresses the potential effects on the food safety implications of discharges to air associated with the ongoing operation of the Hautapu Dairy Manufacturing Site and the potential effects of discharges to air on the local environment, adjoining properties, and adjoining zones.
New Rule 7.4.1.3(f)	11/20	Support	The proposed rule is supported as any activity that requires an air discharge consent from Waikato Regional Council, can potentially have a detrimental impact on the activities of the Hautapu Site in terms of significant food safety implications.	Retain.
Deletion of Rule 7.4.1.3(f)	11/19	Support	It is agreed that the rule is being superseded by Plan Change 11.	Delete Rule 7.4.1.3(f)
Rules 7.4.1.3 (f), And (f) - new	18/14	Support	BIL supports the proposed changes.	Retain as notified
Rule 7.4.1.4(a)	11/21	Support	The amendments to the rule are necessary for clarity.	Retain.
Rule 7.4.1.4(a)	18/15	Support	BIL supports the proposed changes.	Retain as notified
Rule 7.4.1.5 Non-Complying Activities	13/14	Support	Agree that these activities should be non-complying.	Retain Rule 7.4.1.5 as notified.
Rule 7.4.1.5 Non-Complying Activities	13/15	Support in part	Given the sensitive nature of the surrounding area and the prominence of the site in relation to road corridors and other land use, additional activities should be identified as non-	Accept submitters proposed amendments to Rule 7.4.1.5 as shown below. 7.4.1.5 – Non-complying Activities

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
			complying activities.	(p) Notwithstanding Rule 7.4.1.3(f), the following activities are non-complying activities within the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area. (a) Bitumen plants; (b) Incineration activities; (c) Concrete batching plants; and (d) Relocated buildings; <u>(e) Demolition yards;</u> <u>(f) Recycling depots/facilities;</u> <u>(g) Use, creation or storage of radioactive materials;</u> <u>(h) Hazardous facility;</u> <u>(i) The storage and/or use of trade waste;</u> <u>(j) Power generation activities; and</u> <u>(k) Heavy industrial activities.</u>
Rule 7.4.1.5 (b), (c), (d), (e), (g), (h), (j), (l) and (p)	18/16	Support	BIL supports the proposed changes.	Retain as notified
Rule 7.4.1.5 (p)	11/23	Support	The rule is strongly supported as bitumen plants, incineration activities and concrete batching plants are all likely to generate significant effects on Fonterra's Hautapu Site operations in terms of food safety implications. This is a critical issue for Fonterra.	Retain.
Rule 7.4.1.5(b), (c), (e), (g), (h), (j) and (l)	11/22	Support	The amendments are all strongly supported as being necessary to ensure the rule framework functions effectively. The listed non-complying activities all have potential to generate significant reverse sensitivity issues in respect of the Hautapu Site and other industrial activities, and need to remain restricted to within the 'campus hub' only to avoid such effects.	Retain.
Rule 7.4.2.1	11/24	Support	The 25m minimum building setback from State Highway 1 is generally supported.	Retain.
Rule 7.4.2.1	18/17	Support	BIL considers 5 metres to be an appropriate setback from road boundaries except for Stage Highway 1 where the setback should be 25 metres.	Retain as notified
Rule 7.4.2.4A	11/25	Support	The 15m minimum building setback from the Mangaone Stream is generally supported.	Retain.

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
Rule 7.4.2.4A	18/18	Support	BIL supports the inclusion of a new rule in relation to setbacks from the Mangaone Stream.	Retain as notified
Rule 7.4.2.4A	18/20	Support	BIL supports the inclusion of this rule for Nodes 1 and 2 of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct.	Retain as notified
Rule 7.4.2.5 Height	11/26	Support	The 10m maximum height within 40m of State Highway 1 and Victoria Road is generally supported.	Retain.
Rule 7.4.2.5 Height	13/16	Support in part	The proposed Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area adjoins Rural zoned land. Allowing buildings on this boundary to be 20 metres high has the potential to create adverse environmental effects that would be more than minor. Request that any site within 40 metres of an adjoining zone has a maximum height of 10 metres.	Rule 7.4.2.5 Height 7.4.2.5 The maximum height of buildings shall be 20m, except in the following locations where the maximum height shall be: (h) Any site within 40 metres of State Highway 1 Cambridge bypass and/or Victoria Road <u>and/or adjoining zone</u> within the Bardowie Industrial Precinct
Rule 7.4.2.5 Height	18/19	Support	Given the scale of the buildings in the southern part of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct, a bespoke setback / height rule is supported.	Retain as notified
Rule 7.4.2.8A	11/27	Support	The rule provides a mechanism to link effectively with the Urban Design and Landscape Guidelines.	Retain.
Rule 7.4.2.10 – 7.4.2.13	11/28	Support	The rules provide a robust set of provisions relating to landscaping and screening within the precinct and are supported.	Retain.
Rule 7.4.2.10 - 7.4.2.13	18/21	Support	BIL supports the proposed amendments to Rules 7.4.2.10 – 7.4.2.13 in relation to landscaping and screening.	Retain as notified
Rule 7.4.2.13 Landscaping and screening	13/17	Support in part	To ensure a high quality development where any actual or potential adverse effects would be no more than minor, all of the Nodes in the proposed Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area should be required to comply with the building and site layout, parking and loading areas in the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Urban Design and Landscape Guidelines.	7.4.2.8A In the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area, the building and site layout, parking and loading areas for Node 1A, <u>Node 1B</u> , and Node 2, and <u>Node 3</u> shall be located in general accordance with the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Urban Design and Landscape Guidelines. Activities that fail to comply with Rules 7.4.2.7, and 7.4.2.8 and 7.4.2.8A will require a resource consent for a restricted discretionary activity with the direction being restricted over: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Visibility of the public entrance of the building from the road; and ▪ Visual effects, in the Hautapu Industrial Structure Plan

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
				Area. And <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visual effect, in the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area, and adjoining zones.
Rule 7.4.2.13 Landscaping and screening	13/18	Support in part	Landscaping is essential to the amenity of the proposed Industrial Precinct, the local environment and the adjoining properties. The proposed rule requires additional provisions to protect the Rural Zone. The existing advice note should be deleted regarding non-compliances with the Urban Design and Landscape Guidelines.	Amend Rule 7.4.2.13 as follows: (d) Within the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area the location, type and density of planting and landscaping shall be undertaken in accordance with the Urban Design and Landscape Guidelines for the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area <u>and landscaping must meet the following minimum depths:</u> <u>i. Where adjoining a road 2.5m deep amenity planting strip</u> <u>ii. On perimeter sites adjoining another zone a 5m deep screening planting strip is required, screening Industrial buildings and associated activities.</u> (e) Within the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area outdoor storage areas and/or any air conditioning unit visible from an adjoining property, another zone, or from any road or other public place, must be screened by landscaping or solid walls or structures or fences. Building infrastructure which is located on the roof including air conditioning units, plant room, lift motor, solar panels, communication equipment etc, is to be screened from <u>(f) any zone other than Industrial, road or other public place utilising roof forms or parapets that integrate with the overall design of the building.</u> Advice Note: [Delete]
Rule 7.4.2.14	18/22	Support	BIL supports the inclusion of a new rule in relation to building colour in the Bardowie Industrial Precinct.	Retain as notified
Rule 7.4.2.14A	11/29	Support	The rules provide a robust mechanism to enforce the paint colour standards within the Urban Design and Landscape Guidelines.	Retain.
Rule 7.4.2.15, 7.4.2.16 and 7.4.2.16A Noise	13/19	Support in part	Concerned with adverse noise effects on the adjoining property to the north, owned by the submitter and zoned Rural. Particularly the cumulative noise effects of the proposed Industrial Precinct, combined with the existing Hautapu Dairy Manufacturing Site located adjacent to the	Amend Rules as follows: Rule 7.4.2.15 Provided that for the Bond Road North Industrial Structure Plan Area <u>and Node 1B and Node 3 of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area</u> the provisions of Rule 7.4.2.16 shall apply.

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
			submitters property.	Rule 7.4.2.16 Within the Bond Road North Industrial Structure Plan Area and Node 1B and Node 3 of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area all activities must be conducted and buildings located, designed and used to ensure that noise levels do not exceed the following limits: Rule 7.4.2.16A [Delete Advice Note at end of rule]
Rules 7.4.2.15 and 7.4.2.16A	18/23	Support	BIL supports the inclusion of new provisions in respect of noise within Node 1A and Node 2 of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct. In addition, it is appropriate that noise sensitive activities within the Campus Hub are required to be acoustically treated to avoid any potential noise related reverse sensitivity issues.	Retain Rules 7.4.2.15 & 7.4.2.16A as notified.
Rule 7.4.2.16A	11/30	Support	The rule provides a robust set of noise provisions for activities within the industrial precinct and are supported.	Retain.
Rule 7.4.2.16A	22/7	Oppose in part	Council is concerned about making additions and exceptions to the standard noise rules for specific development sites.	Consider adopting the existing noise provisions for industrial sites and if not, amend Rule 7.4.2.16A to refer to the boundary of a Residential site, not the notional boundary.
Rule 7.4.2.16B	11/31	Support	This rule is strongly supported as it requires that noise sensitive activities (visitor accommodation, conference facilities, child care facilities and offices) must have suitable noise insulation to meet a specific level from industrial uses in the vicinity. The 30dBA internal noise standard is strongly supported also as a suitable standard for avoiding reverse sensitivity effects.	Retain.
Rule 7.4.2.16B	18/24	Support	BIL has proposed an amendment to Rule 7.4.2.16B to reflect the revised location of the Campus Hub (now extending into Node 1A and having the extent reduced in Node 2).	Amend Rule 7.4.2.16B as follows: 7.4.2.16B The following noise sensitive activities located within the Campus Hub (Node 2 as shown on the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan) of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area shall incorporate appropriate acoustic treatment to ensure that a noise level not exceeding 30dBA (Ldn) is achieved inside the buildings those activities occur in: (a) Visitor Accommodation (b) Conference facilities (c) Child care facilities (d) Offices

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
Rule 7.5.2.25A	11/32	Support	The signage rule is generally supported.	Retain.
Rule 7.4.2.25A	18/25	Support	BIL supports the inclusion of new rules in relation to signage within the Bardowie Industrial Precinct.	Retain as notified
Rule 7.4.2.31A Developer Agreement	11/33	Support	The rule requiring a development agreement to be in place prior to development occurring, is strongly supported to ensure the orderly 'roll out' of development, in accordance with the capacity of infrastructure networks to service that development.	Retain.
Rule 7.4.2.31A Developer Agreement	12/5	Support	Future Proof supports the amendments to Rule 7.4.2.31A	Retain
Rule 7.4.2.31A Developer Agreement	13/20	Support in part	The servicing of the submitters property needs to be taken into consideration and accounted for at the time of negotiating and preparing the Development Agreement between Council and the developer to specify all those items of infrastructure that are required to be upgraded at full or partial cost of the developer.	Reject Rule 7.4.2.31A as notified. Accept submitters proposed amendments to Rules 7.4.2.31A as shown. 7.4.2.31A No development within the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area shall be approved until such time as a Development Agreement is signed between Council and the developer, unless otherwise approved in writing by the Council. The Development Agreement shall specify all those items of infrastructure that are required to be upgraded at full or partial cost of the developer. <u>The infrastructure provided shall be designed and constructed to connect to and accommodate future development within Growth Cell C8 as currently shown in Appendix S1 of the Waipa District Plan. The development agreement shall also specify the reserves agreement and detail the extent of reserve land to be vested in Council and the manner that the reserve contribution will be offset against the reserve land to be vested.</u>
Rule 7.4.2.31A Developer Agreement	18/26	Support	BIL supports the inclusion of a new rule in respect of development being allowed to commence after a development agreement is signed (or otherwise approved by the Waipa District Council).	Retain as notified
Rule 7.4.2.33 Minimum Parking Requirements	11/34	Support	The parking rule is supported.	Retain.
Rule 7.4.2.33 Minimum Parking Requirements	18/27	Support	Given the scale of the buildings in Node 1A and Node 2 of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct, relative to the number of employees a bespoke parking rule is considered to be	Retain as notified

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
			appropriate.	
Rule 7.4.2.34 Stormwater Management	11/35	Support	The stormwater management rule is supported, as a suitable means to avoid downstream flooding and/or erosion. The Fonterra Hautapu site is downstream of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct and therefore this is of high significance to Fonterra.	Retain.
Rule 7.4.2.34 Stormwater Management	13/21	Oppose	Rule 7.4.2.34 does not provide for Node 1A and Node 2. S19.2.5 to S19.2.9 (inclusive) of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area Urban Design and Landscaping Guidelines indicate that all of the proposed Nodes will be able to comply.	Reject Rule 7.4.2.34 as notified. and amend Rule 7.4.2.34 as follows: 7.4.2.34 On site soakage shall be provided for within each site in <u>Node 1A</u> , Node 1B, <u>Node 2</u> , and Node 3 of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area to take all runoff from a two-year annual recurrence interval (ARI) rainfall event (up to 72- hour duration).
Rule 7.4.2.34 Stormwater Management	18/28	Support	BIL supports the inclusion of this new rule.	Retain as notified

Section 14 – Deferred Zone

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
Deletion of Policy 14.3.1.8	11/36	Support	The policy is no longer necessary as no part of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct will retain a deferred zoning. The policies framework within Section 7 Industrial Zone would now supersede this policy.	Delete Policy 14.3.1.8.
Policy 14.3.1.8	18/29	Support	BIL supports the deletion of the provisions relating to the Hautapu Industrial: East of Victoria Road.	Delete the provisions as notified

Section 15 - Infrastructure, Hazards, Development and Subdivision

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
Rule 15.4.2.65	11/37	Support	The amendment to the rule is supported, as is the approach of incorporating the structure plan and associated Urban Design and Landscape Guidelines as Appendix S19. The rule provides the enforcement mechanism for the structure plan through requiring all development and subdivision to be in 'general accordance' with the requirements of the structure plan. The removal of the references to the Motorway Service Centre Area is also supported as being unnecessary.	Retain.
Rule 15.4.2.65	18/30	Support	BIL supports the requirement for development within the Bardowie Industrial Precinct to be designed in general accordance with the requirements of the Structure Plan that has been prepared as part of Proposed Plan Change 11.	Retain as notified

Section 20 - Health and General Amenity

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
Rule 20.4.2.8 Discharge Consent	11/38	Support	The amendment to the rule is supported, as a suitable means to avoid contaminants entering the Mangaone Stream. The Hautapu Site is downstream of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct and therefore this is of high significance to Fonterra.	Retain.
Rule 20.4.2.8 Discharge Consent	13/22	Oppose	Obtaining a resource consent from the Waikato Regional Council may create an exemption to this rule. The submitter is an affected party to any Stormwater Discharge to the Mangaone Stream from the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structural Plan Area. S19.2.5 to S19.2.9 (inclusive) of the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area Urban Design and Landscaping Guidelines indicate that future development will be able to comply with Rule 20.4.2.8 as it currently exists in the District Plan.	Reject Rule 20.4.2.8 as notified. Retain Rule 20.4.2.8 as currently exists.
Rule 20.4.2.8 Discharge Consent	18/31	Support	BIL supports the proposed changes.	Retain as notified

Section 21 - Assessment Criteria and Information Requirements

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
Amendments to Assessment Criteria	11/39	Support	The various amendments to matters of control and restricted discretion in Section 21 are generally supported, as being necessary to support the Section 7 Industrial Zone rule amendments. In particular Fonterra strongly supports the proposed new 21.1.7.16 as it requires assessment of impacts on the Hautapu Dairy Manufacturing Site.	Retain the proposed new 21.1.7.16.
21.1.7 Industrial Zones Controlled Activities	13/23	Support in part	Need to consider the servicing of the whole area, including the adjoining property to the north, owned by the submitter and located within Growth Cell C8 as currently identified in Appendix S1 of the Waipa District Plan.	Reject Assessment Criteria 21.1.7.3 as notified. Accept submitters proposed amendments to Assessment Criteria 21.7.3 as shown. (b) <u>Compliance</u> with the site layout shown in the Structure Plan, including the positioning of any proposed roads <u>and location of services</u> ; and (c) Consistency with the standard of amenity described in the Structure Plan including any site or area specific design guidelines, block layouts and landscaping; (d) <u>Compliance with any requirements identified in the Structure Plan in relation to infrastructure or service provision; and</u> (e) <u>Construction of any proposed roads or services to comply with the requirements of the Waipa District Council Development and Subdivision Manual.</u>
21.1.7 Industrial Zones Restricted Discretionary Activities	13/24	Support in part	Any actual or potential adverse effects on adjoining properties and adjoining zones needs to be considered.	Reject Assessment Criteria 21.1.7.6 as notified. Accept submitters proposed amendments to Assessment Criteria 21.7.3 as shown. 21.1.7 Industrial Zone Restricted Discretionary Activities 21.1.7.6 Building and site layout (c) The extent to which parking and loading areas in the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area affect the visual amenity of the zone <u>and adjoining zones</u> . (d) <u>The extent to which developments, address flood risks and effects of the development on surrounding buildings and properties.</u> (e) <u>Within the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area the extent to which developments, address flood risks</u>

Summary of Decisions Requested to Proposed Private Plan Change 11: Bardowie Industrial Precinct by Topic

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
				<u>and effects of the development on the Mangaone Stream.</u>
21.1.7 Industrial Zones Restricted Discretionary Activities	13/25	Support in part	Need to consider the effects on local environment, adjoining properties, adjoining zones and the Hautapu Dairy Manufacturing Site.	Reject Assessment Criteria 21.1.7.16 as notified. Accept submitters proposed amendments to Assessment Criteria 21.1.7.16 Restricted Discretionary Activities 21.1.7.16 Activities in the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area requiring an air discharge permit from the Waikato Regional Council. (a) The actual and potential adverse effects on the operation of the Hautapu Dairy Manufacturing Site. <u>(b) Any actual or potential adverse effects on the local environment, adjoining properties, and adjoining zones.</u>
21.1.7.1, 21.1.7.3, 21.1.7.6, 21.1.7.7 and 21.1.7.16	18/32	Support	BIL supports the proposed changes as notified.	Retain as notified

Appendix S1 - Growth Cells, Staging, Preconditions for Release and Infrastructure Requirements

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
Retention of Extent of C8 Industrial Growth Cell	11/40	Support	Fonterra support the proposed change to Appendix S1, insofar as it provides certainty to all parties as to the intended extent of the C8 industrial growth cell (re-named C10 in the Waipa 2050 District Growth Strategy (2017) and referred to as C10 within Plan Change 5).	Retain
C8 Growth Cell	12/6	Support	Future Proof generally supports the amendments to Appendix S1.	Retain
C8 Growth Cell	13/1	Support in part	Support the reinstatement of the entire extent of Growth Cell C8 as currently shown in Appendix S1 of the Waipa District Plan.	Council retain the entire extent of Growth Cell C8 as currently shown in Appendix S1 of the Waipa District Plan, within the District Plan.
C8 Growth Cells	13/35	Support	To be effective as a future growth area for Industrial, the entire area of Growth Cell C8 must be retained.	Council to accept Proposed Plan Change 11 to maintain the entire area of Growth Cell C8 for future Industrial development.

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
C8 Growth Cells	18/33	Support	BIL supports the retention of the extent of the C8 industrial Growth Cell as currently provided for in Appendix S1. If, and to the extent that Plan Change 5 changes the area in that industrial growth cell, Proposed Plan Change 11 seeks to reinstate the entire extent of Growth Cell C8 with the only change being to rename it to "C10"	Retain the extent of the C8 Growth Cell as currently provided for in Appendix S1.

Appendix S19 - Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan (new)

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
Appendix S19	12/7	Support in part	The Campus Hub overlay needs review.	Retain with amendments to give effect to submission point.
Appendix S19 - Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan	11/41	Support in part	The contents of the proposed Appendix S19 are generally supported as it provides a robust framework in support of the objectives, policies and rules relating to the Industrial Zone and Bardowie Industrial Precinct. Fonterra is however concerned that the extent of the 'campus hub' overlay shown on the structure plan diagram is too large and seeks a reduction of the extent of the overlay. In particular the purpose of the overlay should be to provide for an element of commercial land use to serve local need generated by industrial premises within the Bardowie Industrial Precinct only, and not serve wider commercial needs. Fonterra's concern with the extent of the overlay is that large-scale commercial activity could generate potential reverse sensitivity effects in respect of the Hautapu Site, and also fundamentally alter the Bardowie Industrial Precinct from industrial to a mixed industrial/commercial growth cell. This is particularly so given the absence of other mechanisms within the plan change to limit the extent of commercial development that occurs within the 'campus hub' overlay (and also outside it).	Amend the structure plan diagram within Appendix S19 to significantly reduce the extent of the 'campus hub' overlay, or incorporate other mechanisms through the policy and rule framework to limit the actual extent of the 'campus' hub within the Bardowie Precinct.
Campus Hub	22/3	Oppose in part	The relationship between the APL buildings and land use needs to be clarified in relation to the Campus Hub overlay	The structure plan should be clearer in showing the location of the Campus Hub and the APL premises and land use. An

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
			which covers the whole of Node 2.	appropriate commercial assessment should be provided for any commercial activities within the Campus Hub.
General	18/34	Support	BIL supports the inclusion of a Structure Plan and Urban Design and Landscaping Guidelines to enable appropriate development within the Bardowie Industrial Precinct.	Retain the Structure Plan and Urban Design and Landscape Guidelines as notified, expect where refinements are proposed in this submission.
General Arrangement Figure	22/2	Oppose in part	The area of the campus hub is significant and further refinement of the nature and scale of activities within this area is required.	The Campus Hub overlay should be amended to indicate more specifically the location and size of the hub.
S19.2.3	18/35	Support in part	This paragraph of the proposed Appendix S19 (the Structure Plan) needs to be amended to reflect the revised location of the Campus Hub, and the proposed amendments to the Campus Hub permitted activity rule.	Amend the paragraph as follows: S19.2.3 The Bardowie Industrial Precinct will be a contemporary industrial development including a Campus Hub which will include cafes (including a licenced premise), education and child care facilities, a wellness centre, a conference facility and visitor accommodation. The scale of the Campus Hub (<u>as shown spatially on the Structure Plan</u>) will be appropriate to avoid any issues with the commercial hierarchy and overall planning framework for Commercial Zones. As the configuration of Node 2 has not been determined the location of the Campus Hub is not spatially defined, however the location of it will be within the Node 2 area.
S19.2.12 Water Supply	18/36	Support in part	A minor typo needs to be corrected in this paragraph.	Amend the paragraph as follows: S19.2.12 There is appropriate allocation in the waste wastewater network to service the Bardowie Industrial Precinct.
S19.2.15 Wastewater	18/37	Support in part	A minor typo needs to be corrected in this paragraph.	Amend the paragraph as follows: S19.2.15 There is appropriate allocation in the <u>waste</u> wastewater network to service the Bardowie Industrial Precinct.
S19.2.16 Transport	13/26	Support in part	Support that right turn bay created prior to industrial activities occurring. The decision as to whether lights will be formed should not be made until the northern access has been determined as this may be the more suitable location for lights. Ad-hoc development could compromise the potential for the entire area to be effectively serviced.	Amend to read as follows: Transport S19.2.16 A right turn bay adequate for Nodes 1A and 2 at the southern access point should be created prior to industrial activities occurring. A right turn bay can accommodate up to 45 hectares of typical industrial development, but not the whole Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area without long queues and delays. The intersection should be formed with enough space to provide traffic signals if required for the

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
				subsequent development of Node 2. <u>The decision as to whether lights will be formed should not be made until the northern access has been determined as this may be the more suitable location for lights.</u>
S19.2.17 Transport	13/27	Support in part	Access to Laurent Road from Node 1B is operating at the moment, but the intersection of Laurent Road and Victoria Road is not suitable for handling all of the traffic from Node 1B. It is for this reason that it is likely a large percentage of the traffic involved with Node 1B will use the right turn bay at the southern access point until a major intersection with lights, providing connectivity between the Industrial areas, at the junction of Hannon, Hautapu, Victoria and Laurent Roads is created. The focus should be on developing a major intersection with lights connecting all the Industrial Areas involving Hannon, Hautapu, Victoria and Laurent Roads. Ad-hoc development could compromise the potential for the entire area to be effectively serviced.	Delete. Addressed through proposed amendments to S19.2.19.
S19.2.18 Transport	13/28	Support in part	Support that right turn bay created prior to industrial activities occurring. The decision as to whether lights will be formed should not be made until the northern access has been determined as this may be the more suitable location for lights. Ad-hoc development could compromise the potential for the entire area to be effectively serviced.	Delete. Addressed through proposed amendments to S19.2.19.
S19.2.19 Transport	13/29	Support in part	No development should occur in Node 1B other than that which is subject to resource consent), Node 2, and Node 3 until connectivity with the surrounding transport network has been formed to service the entire area.	Amend to read as follows: Transport S19.2.19 No development shall occur <u>in Node 1B (other than that which is subject to resource consent), Node 2, and Node 3</u> until connectivity with the surrounding transport network has been formed to service the general industrial area.
S19.2.20 Transport	13/30	Support in part	Ad-hoc development could compromise the potential for the entire area to be effectively serviced. It is considered desirable to design and construct this access while the proposed Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area is primarily a greenfield site.	Reject

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
			Waiting for 3 of the 4 proposed Nodes to be developed prior to consideration of the crucial intersection servicing the greater Hautapu Industrial Area does not enable flexibility, but actually reduces flexibility.	
S19.2.21 Transport	13/31	Support in part	The Proposed Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structural Plan Area has no connectivity to the adjoining land to the north, owned by the submitter, which is identified as being part of Growth Cell C8.	Reject S19.2.21 as notified. Accept submitters amendments. S19.2.21 The Structure Plan shows roading connectivity to the <u>north and east</u> , beyond the Bardowie Industrial Precinct. This potential future connection to the <u>north and east</u> is shown on the Structure Plan to have the ability to provide <u>services and transport access to the north and east</u> as this area which is located within the C8 industrial growth cell, may in future be developed for industrial purposes.
S19.2.22 Electricity	13/32	Support in part	Support on the condition that electrical services are buried underground.	Reject S19.2.22 as notified. Accept submitters amendments. S19.2.22 Waipa Networks has confirmed that electricity can be supplied to the Bardowie Industrial Precinct, <u>and all electrical services within the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area will be underground.</u>
S19.2.26 Structure Plan	13/33	Support in part	Concerned that no connectivity to the adjoining land to the north, owned by the submitter, and located within Growth Cell C8 as currently shown in Appendix S1 of the Waipa District Plan.	(i) Reject Appendix S19 – Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan as notified. (ii) Accept submitters proposed amendments to Appendix S19 – Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan. (<i>Submitters amendments are illustrated on the plan in Appendix 1 of this document</i>)
S19.2.6 Interpretation	13/34	Oppose	S19.2.6 provides for specific or minor non-compliances with the Design and Landscape Guidelines as of right, without the need to apply for resource consent. This is considered to be inappropriate as any actual or potential adverse environmental effects associated with these non-compliances should be assessed through the resource consent process, as is standard with other non-compliances with the District Plan rules.	Reject S19.2.6
2 Access and Movement Loading and Servicing	13/37	Support in part	Loading and services areas need to be screened from adjoining zones as well as from the surrounding streets.	Amend to read as follows: 2. ACCESS AND MOVEMENT Loading and Servicing 2.16 Boundary treatment should provide adequate screening


Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
				of the loading and service areas from the surrounding streets, including the Waikato Expressway, <u>and adjoining zones.</u>
2 Access and Movement Pedestrian and Cyclist Network	13/36	Support in part	Should be to the whole Industrial Precinct, not just the Campus Hub and Growth Cell C8 as identified in Appendix S1 of the Waipa District Plan.	Amend to read as follows: 2. ACCESS AND MOVEMENT Pedestrian and Cyclist Network Design Objectives <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To facilitate safe and easy access for pedestrians and cyclists to, from and within the industrial area, <u>including access to Growth Cell C8 as currently shown in Appendix S1 of the Waipa District Plan.</u> <u>Design Guidelines</u> 2.9 Facilitate connectivity between the wider Hautapu Industrial Area, the Bardowie Industrial Precinct, and Growth Cell C8 as currently identified in Appendix S1 of the Waipa District Plan.
2 Access and Movement Car parking Layout and Design	13/38	Support in part	Couldn't see where the central focus area was illustrated on the proposed Structure Plan	Request clarification of what the central focus area is and where it is located on the Structure Plan.
3 Building Layout	13/39	Support in part	Need to site buildings so they provide adequate space for landscaping and reduce visual impacts on surrounding public areas (including roads and adjoining zones).	Amend to read as follows: 3. BUILDING LAYOUT Setbacks Design Objectives <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To provide a clear and legible front entrance that is visible from the street. To site buildings so they provide adequate space for landscaping and reduce visual impacts on surrounding public areas (including roads and <u>adjoining zones</u>).
4 Building Heights	13/43	Support in part	The proposed Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area adjoins Rural zoned land. Allowing buildings on this boundary to be 20 metres high has the potential to create adverse environmental effects that would be more than minor. Request that any site within 40 metres of an adjoining zone has a maximum height of 10 metres.	Amend to read to as follow: 4. BUILDING FORM Building Heights Node 1B and Node 3 4.15 A 20m maximum building height restriction is applied across the buildings on the site, except for within 40 metres of Victoria Road <u>and/or adjoining zone</u> where the height limit is 10 metres. 4.16 Building heights should respond appropriately to the surrounding area and incorporate lower elements towards the street <u>and/or adjoining zone</u> to relate to the pedestrian scale.

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
4 Building Form	13/44	Support in part	Need to minimise adverse visual effects on adjoining properties as well as from the street.	Amend to read as follows: 4. BUILDING FORM 4.21 Building infrastructure which is located on the roof including air conditioning units, plant room, lift motor, <u>solar panels, communication equipment</u> etc, is to be screened from adjoining streets <u>and adjoining properties</u> and areas utilising roof forms or parapets that
4 Built Form	13/40	Support in part	No need to single out Node 3. Should apply to all proposed Nodes.	Amend to read as follow: BUILT FORM Street Address 4.5 Where practicable (i.e. where there are no locational/functional, sizing constraints on building orientation), orientate buildings to take advantage of a northern aspect to maximise opportunities for passive solar heating and cooling (<u>particularly in Node 3</u>).
4 Built Form	13/41	Support in part	Seek to protect the amenity (including visual amenity) of adjoining zones and properties.	Amended to read as follows: BUILT FORM Building Design Design Objectives <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ To reinforce the rural character of the local area through appropriate built form and landscape elements. ▪ To provide buildings that facilitate visual interest and variety in form and appearance. ▪ <u>To provide buildings and structures that are designed to protect the amenity (including visual amenity) of adjoining zones, neighbouring properties and the local environment.</u> ▪ To provide practical building forms that meet the purpose of the industry or business. ▪ To encourage building design that is environmentally sensitive. Design Guidelines 4.6 Avoid excessive blank walls, 4.7 Large expanses of building walls that are visible from the street <u>adjoining zones, and adjoining properties</u> should be broken up or otherwise detailed to reduce the scale and

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
				increase interest.
4 Built Form	13/42	Support in part	Materials, colours and finishes can sometimes be reflective causing adverse amenity effects. Due to the sensitive location of the proposed Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan Area it is essential that any materials, colours and finishes of buildings and/or structures utilised within this area are non-reflective.	Amend to read as follows: 4. BUILDING FORM Materials Finishes and Colours <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To provide co-ordinated palette of colours, materials and finishes. <u>To provide colours, materials and finishes that are non-reflective.</u> To provide materials that are durable and robust.
5 Landscaping	13/45	Support in part	Where adjoins Rural land needs to provide screening, not just amenity planting (i.e. shrubs and one tree).	Amend to read as follows; 5. LANDSCAPING Landscaped Setbacks – Node 1B and Node 3 5.3 A 5m <u>screening and</u> amenity planting strip will be provide from housing on neighbouring rural zoned properties. <u>5.3 A The screening planting strip will provide solid plantings to screen the Industrial buildings and associated activities from adjoining rural zoned properties.</u> 5.7 Landscaping in rear setbacks should be provided if the rear of the site adjoins or is visible from a public street, <u>adjoining zone and adjoining property.</u>
5 Landscaping	13/46	Support in part	Want to prohibit the use of cement board or similar products, razor wire, and barbed wire to protect the visual amenity of the environment.	Amend design guideline 5.23 to read as follows: 5. LANDSCAPING Fencing Design 5.24 Razor wire and barbed wire are to be avoided. Razor wire, barbed wire, fibrolite, or similar cement board products are prohibited.
7 Sustainability	13/47	Support in part	The sensitive location of the proposed Bardowie Industrial Precinct means that a centralised recycling facility would be likely to create adverse environmental effects that would be more than minor, and is not considered to be an appropriate location for a recycling facility. Additionally, due the sensitive location and high visibility of the proposed Industrial Precinct it is considered that it is not a suitable location for the development, operation, use and maintenance of renewable energy technologies such as battery and wind generation.	Amend as follows: 7. SUSTAINABILITY 7.6 Facilitate the use of both passive and active alternative energy systems. 7.9 Facilitate and promote the use of appropriate waste management practices, including the promotion of recycling (i.e. through the development of a centralised recycling facility within the Bardowie Industrial Precinct). 7.10 Enable and encourage the development, operation, use and maintenance of individual and small scale renewable energy technologies, including solar, batteries and small-

Plan Change Reference / District Plan Provision	Submission point	Support / Oppose / In Part	My submission is (summary):	Decision requested
				scale wind generation.
8 Safety and Security	13/48	Support in part	Concern regarding light spill onto adjoining rural zone.	Amend to include: 8. SAFETY AND SECURITY <u>8.4 Ensure there is no light spill onto adjoining rural zoned properties.</u>
Structure Plan	18/38	Support in part	BIL supports the "Structure Plan" for the Bardowie Industrial Structure Plan. However, as a result of receiving feedback regarding the Campus Hub, BIL is proposing the adoption of a revised Structure Plan showing the refined extent of the Campus Hub.	Amend the Structure Plan as shown in Appendix B of this submission. And Make any consequential amendments as necessary throughout PC11 and including the proposed Appendix S19 – Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan to reflect the revised location of the Campus Hub (not being wholly within Node 2 and extending into Node 1A). Change from "within Stage 2" to "as shown on the Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan". <i>(Submitters amendments are illustrated on the plan in Appendix 2 of this document)</i>
Transportation - Connectivity to other land	13/3	Oppose in part	Concerned that no connectivity to the adjoining land to the north, owned by the submitter, and located within Growth Cell C8 as currently shown in Appendix S1 of the Waipa District Plan.	(i) Reject Appendix S19 – Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan as notified. (ii) Accept submitters proposed amendments to Appendix S19 – Bardowie Industrial Precinct Structure Plan.(iii) Request that additional information be shown and detailed on the proposed Structure Plan including access and connectivity linkages, landscaping and proposed reserves:
Transportation - Northern Access	22/5	Support in part	The design solution for the northern access requires further consideration.	The details of the northern access will need to be agreed with Council transport staff.
Urban Design Guidelines	18/39	Support	BIL supports the intent of the Urban Design and Landscape Guidelines, and inclusion of them within the Waipa District Plan. It is acknowledged that these may be refined during the First Schedule process to accommodate the optimal design outcomes.	Retain the intent of the Urban and Landscape Guideline, and any further refinements determine to be necessary to accommodate the optimal environmental design outcomes.
Water Supply for fire fighting	22/6	Support in part	The structure plan does not address water requirements for fire fighting purposes. The Council water supply has constraints and any proposed development will need to provide water supply design and capacity for fight fighting.	Make amendments to S19.2.10 to include details of how firefighting needs of the proposed development of the industrial precinct are to be addressed.

Appendix 1 – Submission point 13/33



Appendix 2 – Submission point 18/38

