SURNAME W – PART 2	PAGE NUMBER		
Waghorn, Helen	14		
Waghorn, Jack	81		
Waikato Environment Centre Trust (trading as GoEco)	27		
Walker, Kirsty	100		
Walker, Sarah	73		
Walker, Simon	53		
Warburton, Barbara	75		
Warwick, Angie	59		
Warwick, Douglas	97		
Waste Management NZ Limited	11		
Watson, Jonathan	55		
Watts, Kelly	49		
Webb, Ruth	6		
Webster, Grant	93		
Welten, Bronwyn	39		
Western, Tania	2		
Wheatley, Cindy	22		
Wheeler, Margaret	87		
White, Bevan	4		
White, Judith	89		
Whittaker, Doreen	77		
Wilkes, Gina	83		
Wilkes, Robert	85		
Wilkinson, Kathryn	68		
Wilks, Ilana	95		
Wilks, Shirley and Grant	79		
Williams, Jenni	70		
Williams, Larry	91		
Williams, Michelle	65		
Wilson, Cathy	44		
Wilson, David	41		
Withers, Tessa	8		
Wood, John	17		
Woodman, Melissa	21		
Woods, Lavinia	47		
Wrightson, Craig	61		
Wrightson, Sarah	57		
Wrigley, Jo	24		

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

Application No: LU/0323/21

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited

LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

I am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: the idea of having this incinerator in our community is mind boggling, the location that is being considered is ludicious, and not in-line with a community that is family orientated and a country that is forward thinking in My submission is:
Support parts or all of □ Oppose parts or all of □ are neutral parts or all of □ include—
• the reasons for your views.
operations, it may be a quick fix for reducing land-folly but what is the long term effect going to be on our the environment, think long and hard
I seek the following decision from the consent authority:
give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions
sought
Don't de-face the land anymore than it already is with progress - the consent authority must decline this application.
I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard

and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

D I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991) I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter: Caria Western (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)	
Date: 12 Oct, 2023 Contact person:	
(name and designation, if applicant)	
Postal address: 7 Golf Road Te Awamutu 3800	_

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.



Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a s	submission on: $LU/0323/21$				
	NT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited N: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu				
l 🏤/am ı	${\sf not}^*$ a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.				
(a) ac	Heat directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— Elversely affects the environment; and places not relate to trade competition.				
,	fic parts of the application that my submission relates to are: against the application in its entirety.				
My subm	ission is:				
Support p	parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of				
•	the reasons for your views.				
lrepa	rable eurironmental damage Increase in greenhouse				
emmis	ians.				
give precise	e following decision from the consent authority: e details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions Section The application in Full.				
I wish (or	do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.				
	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)				
13/	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)				
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.				
	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard vill not advise you of the date of the hearing.				
	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)				

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Contact person:

BENAN WHITE
(name and designation, if applicant)

DISTE AWAMUTU

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- · it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.



Submission on a Botified Lesoure- Consent Application



Corm 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I am/amnet directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
The entire application
My submission is:
Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of include—
• the reasons for your views.
Pollution Heaven visher probably prace drap, mirace traffic person reach under my us! Medice wante raise from gestup vid of the
I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought TO DECLIVE THE ENTIRE APPLICATION
wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
ou must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

6

D

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter: Return Webb (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not require	ed if you make your submission by electronic means.)
Date: 12/10/23 Contact person:	
Postal address: 373 1 Sainsbury Rd (1) (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):	201 Te Avramen 3876

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.



Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application Form 13



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

This is a submission on.				
APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu				
I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.				
I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.				
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: _All				
My submission is: Support parts or all of □ Oppose parts or all of □ are neutral parts or all of □ include— • the reasons for your views.				
See attached				
I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought Decline application				
I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.				
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)				
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)				
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.				
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.				
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)				

I do not request, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter:	
(or person authorised to sign on	behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 11.10.23 Contact person: Tessa Withers

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 904 Bond Road

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.



Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application Form 13



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

My submission relates to the whole application and I oppose this application.

I live in Te Awamutu and my child is starting the barnyard daycare on Racecourse road next year, I do not want an incinerator across the road from their daycare. It will make it less safe for parents and staff driving in & out of the Barnyard with the extra vehicles including trucks on the road, plus the additional noise this will create for the children playing outside.

I live on Bond Road where a lot of trucks use our road as a bypass from Cambridge, I am concerned about the additional amount of trucks that will use this road if this incinerator goes ahead. Moxham road, which connects Bond Road and Te Rahu road, is very narrow and there is a hill in the middle which obstructs your view to see any vehicles coming, trucks should not be using this road due to safety concerns.

New Zealand has no waste incinerators and Te Awamutu does not want to be the testing ground for this, especially so close to residential housing, schools/daycares etc...

I am concerned that Global Contracting Solutions has been at the environment court for breaching their resource consent conditions and that they applied for a non-notified resource consent — why did they not want the residents of Te Awamutu knowing about this!

Although I understand it wasn't a legal requirement, it's concerning that no public health assessment has been done considering the proposed site is so close to residents.

I am concerned about the air, water and land pollution & emissions that the incinerator will produce, even low levels cannot be safe.

The incinerator will be a massive contributor to climate change and it will be using non-renewable feedstock. It will create a huge amount of toxic ash that still needs to go to landfill. Our focus should be reducing waste in the first place.

Most of the site is designated a high risk flood zone which is not appropriate for this type of building.

The storage of highly contaminated wastewater and other hazardous substances on site risks spills and wider contamination. There is no risk assessment of the possibility of fire or explosion despite the storage of hazardous materials and highly flammable feedstock. Te Awamutu only has a volunteer fire brigade.



SUBMISSION ON THE APPLICATION BY GLOBAL CONTRACTING SOLUTIONS LIMITED TO ESTABLISH AND OPERATE A WASTE TO ENERGY FACILITY AT 401 RACECOURSE ROAD, TE AWAMUTU

TO: Waipā District Council and the Waikato Regional Council

("Councils")

SUBMITTER: Waste Management NZ Limited ("Waste Management")

SUBMISSION ON: The application by Global Contracting Solutions Limited

("Applicant") to establish and operate a Waste to Energy Facility ("Proposal" or "Application") at 401 Racecourse

Road, Te Awamutu ("Application Site")

Introduction

- The Applicant has lodged resource consent applications with the Waipā District Council and the Waikato Regional Council to construct and operate the Proposal. These applications are for:
 - (a) land use consent from the Waipā District Council (LU/0323/21) to construct and operate a plant to generate power through combustion of refuse derived fuel; and
 - (b) resource consents from the Waikato Regional Council (APP143988) to undertake three activities associated with the establishment and operation of the Proposal being the discharge of emissions to air, the discharge of stormwater to surface water and the deposition of clean fill.
- 2. At the outset, Waste Management acknowledges it is a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991. However, Waste Management operates at a different scale and capacity to the Applicant. Notwithstanding this, as explained below, Waste Management is directly affected by an effect of the Application that:
 - (a) adversely affects the environment; and
 - (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
- 3. Waste Management operates a refuse transfer station at 8 Daphne Street in Te Awamutu ("Te Awamutu RTS") which is approximately 1km from the Application Site. Waste Management provides a necessary service to the Te Awamutu community, with the Te Awamutu RTS being visited by approximately 30 50 people per day. The Te Awamutu RTS has 2 full time employees, and 1 part time employee.
- 4. Waste Management strives for its operations throughout New Zealand to be undertaken in accordance with environmental best practice and it seeks to investigate opportunities for continuous improvement, including at its Te Awamutu RTS.

318 East Tamaki Road East Tamaki Auckland 2013 Private Bag 14919 Panmure Auckland 1741 T+64 9 527 1300 0800 10 10 10 wastemanagement.co.nz



Scope of submission

- Waste Management makes this submission in relation to both LU/0323/21 and APP143988, jointly, in their entirety.
- 6. Waste Management opposes the Application and seeks that it be **declined** for the reasons explained below.

Reasons for submission

- 7. In general, the reasons for this submission are that the Application, if granted:
 - (a) will not promote sustainable management of resources, and therefore will not achieve the purpose of the Resource Management Act 1991 ("RMA");
 - (b) is contrary to Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA;
 - (c) will not meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations;
 - (d) will not enable social, economic and cultural wellbeing;
 - is contrary to the purposes and provisions of the RMA and other relevant planning documents including the Waipā District Plan, Waikato Regional Plan and Waikato Regional Policy Statement; and
 - (f) will not avoid, remedy or mitigate the adverse effects of the proposed activity on the environment.

Specific reasons for submission

- 8. Without limiting the generality of paragraph 7 above, Waste Management opposes the Application for the following reasons:
 - (a) **GHG emissions**: Waste Management is concerned with the likely environmental impacts of the Proposal, in particular the greenhouse gases produced. The resource consent application outlines the facility will receive 166,525 tonnes of refuse annually, and the furnace will be able to process up to 480 tonnes per day. These materials will be burnt releasing greenhouse gases to the atmosphere (which could instead be stored and / or captured at landfills). Alongside greenhouse gases produced, the Proposal will also produce 23 tonnes of ash daily from the combustion process. Of this ash produced, 21 tonnes is proposed to be sent to landfill for disposal. The Application provides insufficient information as to whether Waste Management would be able to accept this ash at its facilities.

318 East Tamaki Road East Tamaki Auckland 2013 Private Bag 14919 Panmure Auckland 1741 T+64 9 527 1300 0800 10 10 10 wastemanagement.co.nz

Resource Consent Application for discharges to air and water and for cleanfill deposition, in connection with a Waste to Energy facility at 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu, pursuant to s 88 of the Resource Management Act dated 3 December 2021 at page 18.

Resource Consent Application for discharges to air and water and for cleanfill deposition, in connection with a Waste to Energy facility at 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu, pursuant to s 88 of the Resource Management Act dated 3 December 2021 at page 20.



- (b) Air quality effects: Waste Management considers the Proposal will have significant impacts on the air quality of the surrounding area and direct effects on its Te Awamutu RTS. This is a major concern for the health of those who work and live in the surrounding area. Waste Management is concerned that the air quality assessment in the Application provides insufficient information to how ongoing air quality effects will be managed.
- (c) Inadequacy of information: Waste Management considers this Application is lacking information to how the activities on the Application Site will be managed, including the necessary water to operate a waste to energy facility and has not been able to adequately determine the effects on the Te Awamutu RTS. Given this new technology to New Zealand, Waste Management is concerned that a comprehensive suite of consent conditions and draft management plans have not been produced. A detailed conditions set would outline the standards the facility must adhere to and the lack of their inclusion generates uncertainty to the future management of the facility and its impact on the Te Awamutu RTS. Without information regarding the future management of the facility, Waste Management struggles to see how the Application will be able to conclude that the effects will be "no more than minor".

Decision sought

- 9. For the reasons set out above, Waste Management seeks that this Application be **declined**.
- 10. Waste Management wishes to be heard in support of this submission.
- 11. If others make a similar submission, Waste Management will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

Name: Jim Jefferis

Head of Environment and Consents

Date: 13 October 2023

Address for Service: C/O Jim Jefferis

Waste Management NZ Ltd

Private Bag 14919

Panmure
Auckland 1741

Email: jjefferis@wastemanagement.co.nz

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
 am/am-net directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— adversely affects the environment; and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
Please see the attached page
My submission is: Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of include— the reasons for your views.
Please see the attached page.
I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought I want waifa District Council to decline this application
wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will <u>not advise you of the date of the hearing.</u>
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Submission re Global Contracting Solutions Limited Application

WDC REF:LU/0323/21

My submission relates to the whole application. I oppose this application. I want the Waipa District Council to decline this application.

My name is Helen Waghorn. I live in my own home within 1 kilometre of the proposed site of the incinerator with my partner, 2 adult children and 3 cats.

The location of this incinerator within 1 kilometre of my home and next to planned and existing schools, houses and business is not appropriate. As well as working 24 hours a day 7 days a week in a residential area.

I am concerned that this incinerator will release dangerous cancer-causing dioxins into the air which will settle on the land and into the water. There is no human health assessment of this proposal.

I am also deeply concerned about the track record of Global Contracting Solutions Limited who do not have experience in waste incinerators and have in the past violated resource consent conditions in their current scrap metal business in Hamilton.

The incinerator will add a huge amount of additional traffic to our residential roads and will change our community from a quiet residential area to an unsafe and busy thoroughfare of trucks at all hours of the day and night.

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signati	ure of submitter:	b Wag C	
(or perso	n authorised to sign on l	half of submitted) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic me	ans.)
	وملميا مر	Contact person: Helen Wayhorn	
Date:	12 10 23	Contact person: Helen Waghorn	

Postal address: 231 North Street Te Awanutu

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.



Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application Form 13



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

	ANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited ON: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
l am /am	n not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
(a) a	n not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—adversely affects the environment; and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The spe	cific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
-	mission is: sparts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of
include—	the reasons for your views.
We in rubling of the seek the give precise ought	need to find other ways to get sid of our wish other than yoing into (and fill. I think this is a way of disposal of rubbish that does not break do ly, and it also provides some benifits eg: power hotwa ne following decision from the consent authority: se details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions u
wish (c	or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
ū	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	st tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard will not advise you of the date of the hearing.
	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Waste to Energy Plant Submission

I do see merits in the Waste to Energy Plant proposed by Global Contracting Solutions Limited but note that I also have concerns. Such plants are used in many countries, including in populated areas. I understand that two plants in Australia are in the process of being constructed (Victoria, Western Australia).

I have the following concerns:

Emissions

What are the regulations that cover emissions? Who will be monitoring them? Who enforces them? I believe the commissioners who will be making the final decision will have the knowledge and expertise in this area to make a sound decision.

Heavy metals namely lead and mercury have been mentioned by others in the community; it is my understanding that Global Contracting Solutions Ltd will not be accepting waste that includes these metals.

Traffic

Construction of the plant will cause disruption, but the land is zoned industrial and should it not be used for the Waste to Energy Plant, it may be used by other industries and some sort of construction is most likely to be involved.

It is my understanding that the entrance to the site would undergo major realignment by Global Contracting Solutions Ltd, which would minimise the congestion that has been identified.

Visual Impact

The height of the proposed Global Contracting Solutions Ltd chimney at 35mtrs is less than that of Fonterra's chimney. I accept that although the plant would be camouflaged by strategic plantings and colour blending with the surrounds, there would be some visual impact but every attempt to minimise is to be made. This may not be the situation for another industry taking over the site.

Economic impact – land values

The plant would likely have a detrimental impact on land values in the immediate area but the site is zoned industrial, and any industrial development of the site will have an impact on values to some extent. While there would definitely be an increase in heavy traffic should the plant go ahead, Fonterra tankers, stock trucks and bin trucks already use Racecourse Road. It is my understanding that it is designated a heavy traffic road.

Hazardous Waste Disposal

Substantial volumes of ash and treatment water have been mentioned in the media. I understood that the output would be minimal. While I acknowledge there is a risk such as a traffic accident involving a truck carrying any hazardous waste, trucks carrying dangerous chemicals etc. including from the nearby Fonterra plant already pass through the Waipa district and through Te Awamutu township.

Effect on Waste Minimisation and Recycling Initiatives

I completely support the Council waste minimisation plan. I do not see why the waste to energy plant and the waste minimsation plan cannot sit side by side, they are mutually exclusive and one not detrimental to the other. I see the Global Contracting Solutions Ltd proposal as an alternative to

landfill with some flow on benefits (power, hot water, steam, metal retrieval for recycling) while waste minimisation plans are initiated and enhanced.

In summary I acknowledge both risks and benefits with the Global Contracting Solutions Ltd proposal.

As stated earlier, I believe the independent commissioners will have the knowledge and expertise to make a sound decision.

John Wood

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Contact person: John Wood.

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 370 Swarbiich Drive Te Awamtu (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.



From:

"Melissa Woodman"

Sent:

Thu, 12 Oct 2023 08:12:42 +1300

To:

"Submissions" < submissions@waipadc.govt.nz>

Cc:

"rcsubmissions@waikatoregion.govt.nz"

<rcsubmissions@waikatoregion.govt.nz>; "Richard.falconer@terragroup.co.nz"

<Richard.falconer@terragroup.co.nz>

Subject:

External Sender: Don't Burn Waipa

Categories:

Underway; Felicity

CYBER SECURITY WARNING: This email is from an external source - be careful of attachments and links. Please follow the Cybersecurity Policy and report suspicious emails to Servicedesk

To whom it may concern,

LU/0323/21 and APP143988

Re: Global Contracting Solutions Ltd's 'The Waste Incineration Plant' application

I am writing to oppose the application to develop a Waste Incineration Plant in Te Awamutu. This letter is specifically in regards to Global Contracting Solutions Ltd's application. I am requesting that this application for the Waste Incineration Plant be declined. This letter is directed to the Waipa District Council and the Waikato Regional Council.

To follow are the reasons I oppose this application.

- 1. It opposes everything that climate change talks about.
- 2. How does this fit in with climate change polices?

Melissa Woodman 362 Jary Rd Ohaupo

Document Set ID: 11112445 /ersion: 1, Version Date: 12/10/2023

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

17

This	is	a	subm	icc	ion	on:
			JUNIII	133		UII.

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991. I am/amate directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment; and (a) (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: All of the application. My submission is: Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of includethe reasons for your views. not · Harmful to the people that live in the area. Global Contracting Solutions does not have a good train havm Manganto · Too close to schools, day cares & residence I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought Decline application I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission. I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing) I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing) If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing. You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard

22

14

and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter:	Combetto	
(or person authorised to sign on beh	If of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 13/10/23

Contact person: ____Cund

(name and designation, if applicant

Postal address: 125 Cactus Court.

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.



Waipa DISTRICT COUNCIL

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu I am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991. I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.						
						The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
						My submission is: Support parts or all of □ Oppose parts or all of □ are neutral parts or all of □ include— • the reasons for your views.

This proposed plant is likely to diminish that standing of the town, and also diminish New Zealand's claim to being a 'clean and green' country. Whilst we are not perfect in that claim, we should be able to retain the option to improve — to improve our efforts and work hard towards a more circular economy, where we place a heavy emphasis on sustainable design, resource. recovery and enabling community to solve its own issues in dealing with waste rather than transferring the issue onto others either elsewhere or in the future. The citizens of Whaingaroa are rightly proud of their achievements with their Xtreme Zero waste project. and are pushing hard to expand operations. If anything, we hope this incinerator proposal will. encourage citizens in Te Awamutu to realise what is possible — building a resource recovery. park — and in the process building community resilience and retaining the benefits and income. of a resource recovery park in the town itself, rather than paying off someone else's huge debt. to an unknown entity overseas.

In 1840, Te Tiriti o Waitangi ensured that iwi and/or hapū would have the right to exercise kaitiakitanga. However, due to breaches of the treaty by the Crown, waste and pollution have emerged as significant environmental threats. The Crown's inadequate management of Te Taiao and Aotearoa's natural resources, failure to prioritise the wellbeing of taonga, and denial of Māori who were promised 'unqualified exercise of their chieftainship' in Te Tiriti have exacerbated these issues. Waste exists by design. I support community-based initiatives like Resource Recovery Centres that create employment, develop localised enterprise and reduce waste while we design waste out of our systems.

I seek the following decision from the consent authority: I ask that the application for an Incinerator is declined.

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any condition sought			
I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.		
	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)		
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)		
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.		
	ist tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard will not advise you of the date of the hearing.		
0	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)		

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter:	Mugkey
	ter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)
Date: _13 October, 2023	Contact person: Jo Wrigley d designation, if applicant)
Postal address:	52 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.



Waipa DISTRICT COUNCIL

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu					
/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991. I /am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.					
My subm	ssion is:				
Support p	arts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of				
	the reasons for your views.				
See attach	ned document				
give precise sought	following decision from the consent authority: details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions e this application and ask that Waipa District Council decline this application.				
I wish (or	do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission. I do wish to be heard in support of my submission				
?	(this means that you will speak at the hearing) I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission				
	(this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)				
?	✓ If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.				

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application Form 13



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

☑ I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991

I do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter:	
(or person authorised to sign or	behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 13 October 2023 Contact person: Simon Gascoigne (Community Co-ordinator)

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: PO Box 19104, Hamilton 3244 (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.



Submission to: Waipa District Council

In the Matter of:

Global Contracting Solutions Limited proposal for a Waste to Energy Plant at 410 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu. Application number: **LU/0323/21**

Prepared by: Waikato Environment Centre, trading as GoEco

188 Commerce Street

Frankton

Hamilton.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit on the application of Global Contracting Solutions Ltd for a Waste to Energy Plant (WtE) in Te Awamutu.

We would like to be heard in support of our submission.

GoEco oppose the proposed incinerator plant in Te Awamutu and submit that Waipa District Council must decline the application for the reasons mentioned below.

Nationally significant application:

This application is nationally significant as there are NO Waste to Energy plants operating in New Zealand and any decision to approve would set a national precedent for the entire country. As an untested technology we would effectively be a test experiment for incineration, dioxin production and ash storage under New Zealand conditions.

The New Zealand Government and MfE have released policy strategy documents to encourage New Zealand to move towards a circular economy. In contrast this WtE proposal is a continuation of a linear economy ideology of 'extract, use and throwaway', by providing a "final" option for the 'throwaway' aspect of this system.

The New Zealand Government has a target of reducing waste per capita by 30% by 2030. In the UK where they have numerous incinerators the UK recycling rates have actually <u>dropped</u> over time owing to the operation of WtE plants.

Not only that but a certain proportion of recycling ends up being burnt in the incinerators - with various localities reporting amounts from 5-10% of their recycling ending up getting incinerated.

https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2021/mar/07/revealed-why-hundreds-of-thousands-of-tonnes-of-recycling-are-going-up-in-smoke

Another aspect to be considered is the reputation of Te Awamutu and also of New Zealand itself. This proposed plant is likely to diminish that standing of the town, and also diminish New Zealand's claim to being a 'clean and green' country. Whilst we are not perfect in that claim, we should be able to retain the option to improve — to improve our efforts and work hard towards a more circular economy, where we place a heavy emphasis on sustainable design, resource recovery and enabling community to solve its own issues in dealing with waste rather than transferring the issue onto others either elsewhere or in the future. The citizens of Whaingaroa/Raglan are rightly proud of their achievements with their Xtreme Zero waste project and are pushing hard to expand operations. If anything, we hope this incinerator proposal will encourage citizens in Te Awamutu to realise what is possible — building a resource recovery park — and in the process building community resilience and retaining the benefits and income of a resource recovery park in the town itself, rather than paying off someone else's huge debt to an unknown entity overseas.

Regional/locally significant application:

This is a non complying activity under the Waipa District plan.

This application repeatedly states that most effects will be minor. We submit that over the 30 year lifetime of this plant that the cumulative effects will be significant Eg with dioxins and permanent chemicals being deposited into the environment with adverse effects on biodiversity and human health.

The site is not identified as an area for industrial development in the District Plan. This site is

next to existing and planned residential housing, schools and food businesses, and operating 24-hrs/day, 7day/week is not appropriate

The effects on the immediate residents and organisations will be considerable, not only directly but will cause uncertainty, stress and anxiety for all concerned with worry about contamination and discharges to air of heavy metals, dioxins and long lived chemicals.

In addition there is very real concern on the effects on house prices of having such a plant operating in Te Awamutu.

Whilst this proposal includes resource recovery and recycling in the plant this proposal is incompatible with Waipa District Council's Waste Management and Minimisation Plan as it does not focus on a) waste reduction or b) waste minimisation.

Also the Waipa waste minimisation plan states an incompatibility if future ratepayers are unreasonably burdened with risk. This is a real probability when the plant:

- a) Ceases operations, leaving behind a potentially highly contaminated site for decades into the future where councils and communities have to pay to remediate the site, if this is at all possible,
- b) Leaves community dealing with managing some 200,000 tonnes of landfilled ash after 30 years of operation with risks from:
- i) toxic leachate
- ii) increased damage to such landfill from climate change events to landfills Eg Storm damage, flooding.

There is no Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) in this application.

Similarly there is no Cultural Impact report in this application.

The community will be dealing with the effects, damage and risks of such a plant in perpetuity, long after the plant has ceased operations.

Non-renewable Energy Sources

The application mentions this plant as producing renewable energy – this is false. Burning rubbish is not defined as renewable energy under New Zealand law.

Burning tyres is illegal – it is illegal to burn even one tyre because the health and environmental effects are so toxic – yet, this project is proposing to burn 35,000 tonnes a year.

After all the recyclables have been removed, the remaining waste is being used as it is "highly calorific', composed of tyres, flocking and municipal solid waste (MSW). A large component of the MSW is plastic. The very reason these waste sources are highly calorific is as it is primarily made with oil and oil derivatives and its therefore essentially a fossil fuel. According to Geologist Dr Colin Campbell, most of the worlds oil was formed between 90-150 million years ago ironically in a period of extreme global warming on this planet. (Campbell, 2012: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wcZwpVVDP2s). Oil derived fuels are therefore defined as non-renewable, being of ancient origin and thus their use in this plant constitutes consumption of 'non-renewable' fuel.

There is no mention of the quantity of tyres to be stored onsite at any given time aside from '30,000t/a' – WRC tyre stockpile guidelines stipulate that tyres should be prohibited within:

250 metres of the coastal marine area

100 metres of any open water course, including a flood plain or wetland

500 metres of any zoned residential area

1000 metres of any school, hospital, marae or rest home

100 metres from any substantive electrical infrastructure such as pylons or substations, rail lines or any other known ignition source, unless the risk posed by the ignition source is adequately mitigated through resource consent conditions

In the UK, energy from waste is on track to become the dirtiest form of electricity generation as coal and gas are phased out, and the same would be in case in New Zealand in the future.

https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2021/mar/07/revealed-why-hundreds-of-thousands-of-tonnes-of-recycling-are-going-up-in-smoke

Bottom Grate Ash

21 tonnes of bottom grate ash are to go to landfill every day – a total of over 7000 tonnes a year, 200,000 tonnes over a 30 year life of the plant.

There are several scientific studies that highlight that incineration does not completely destroy plastic. Microplastics have been found to be present in significant amounts (Yang, Zhan & Lü, Fan & Wang, Wei & Shao, Liming & Ye, Jianfeng & He, Pinjing. (2020). Is Incineration the Terminator of Plastics and Microplastics?. Journal of Hazardous Materials. 401. 123429. 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.123429.)

Similarly it is recognised that nano particles can survive in bottom grate ash.

(https://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlelanding/2014/en/c3en00080j).

In terms of leachability of heavy metals in ash and landfills, Cadmium, Copper, Molybdenum, and Lead showed leachability which was independent of pH, with Zinc and Cadmium showing highest leachability at low pH. 'Toxic Fallout' Research Report - January 2022 Zero Waste Europe.

Some scientific studies have raised concerns, for example relating to ash storage effects on the bottom clay liners of landfills, (Li LY, Ohtsubo M, Higashi T, Yamaoka S, Morishita T. Leachability of municipal solid waste ashes in simulated landfill conditions. Waste Manag. 2007;27(7):932-45. doi: 10.1016/j.wasman.2006.04.014. Epub 2007 Jan 26. PMID: 17258447.)

Also the very complex potential chemical interactions that have not fully been studied for the longer term effects including how bacteria and fungi interact in the leaching process over the longer term (Luo, Hongwei & Cheng, Ying & He, Dongqin & Yang, En-Hua. (2019). Review of leaching behavior of municipal solid waste incineration (MSWI) ash. Science of The Total Environment. 668. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.004.)

Every time this ash is picked up or moved it risks further contamination, discharging heavy metals and dioxins into the environment with possible exposure of dioxins to humans and mammals over the longer term operation of the plant.

The long term storage of 200,000 tonnes of ash (from 30 years of plant operation) raises significant issues of human and environmental safety. There is no mention of the plant operator being involved in the monitoring and continued safe storage of this ash once it leaves the plant. Thus all risks of ash storage are transferred to the community and councils in perpetuity.

Fly Ash

Fly ash is the most toxic ash that will be emitted – in some countries it is considered and treated as hazardous waste. Germany stores fly ash in salt mines, in the same way it stores low level radioactive waste. The proposal to use fly ash in concrete barriers does not mean the toxicity is in some way prevented from reaching the environment.

Recycling

The application states that putrescible waste will not be accepted or burned. It is likely that clumped or bulk quantities may be able to be identified in the recovery process and removed. However in 2022, GoEco was contracted by Waipa District Council to conduct a residential waste audit for the purpose of forensically identifying the food waste component of residential waste. This audit backed up previous research that found that food waste comprised some 36% or more of the audited waste. Also approximately 12% of the waste was nappies and sanitary items. Given this volume and how well distributed food waste and nappies are mixed through the waste it will be very challenging to remove it all – so there will be a component of food/sanitary waste going into this plant.

Where is the 460 tonnes of solid waste going to be presorted before arrival at the plant? There are no plans to show how this process will operate, to what standards will it adhere to and where this will occur so we can have more confidence in the companies recycling quality.

At the plant site the application specifies that only 20 people will be involved in the final presorting/recycling process. The presorting process will only run during the day and not at night. Thus only 20 people have to sort through over 400 tonnes of waste a day (which sounds logistically difficult to do properly), approximately 30% or more of which is food waste as identified in previous audits that has to be removed.

Risk of fuel/chemical spillage or leaks

The application states that there will be approximately 5000 litres of diesel fuel on the site for the purpose of raising the startup sequence and maintaining burner temperature. Comparisons with other incinerator sites worldwide indicate that 5000 litres will not be adequate for the purpose intended - other sites have upwards of 40,000 litres or more. With a large storage of fuel there is a risk of diesel spills and land contamination.

Production of Dioxins

There is no safe level of dioxin exposure.

There is no consideration of human health effects in this proposal. Dioxins bioaccumulate through the food chain. Dioxin contamination has affected food producers and communities in Holland, when exports were halted of milk owing to dioxin contamination. Similarly eggs surrounding incinerator plants were found to have high dioxin contamination, far higher than what was expected - the plant in Holland was built with state of the art technology higher than the levels of technology than what is proposed for the Te Awamutu plant.

Whilst this report focuses on the gas dilution levels and the gas dispersion from the smokestacks, animals Eg chickens, will re-concentrate the dioxins as they consume small organisms themselves.

Cows are very good at bioaccumulating dioxins in fat. One litre of cows milk gives the same dose of dioxin as breathing air next to the cows for EIGHT MONTHS (Connet and Webster, 1987). So people living near to the plant are not the only one's exposed as is indicated in the air dispersion maps, its anyone eating any products from any mammals nearby as well.

In one day a grazing cow puts as much dioxin in its body as a human being would get in 14 years of breathing (McLaughlan, 1995).

Discharges to Air

The combustion of tyres will result in Sulphur dioxide emissions that are four times what is stated in the application modelling.

This facility will generate some 150,000 tonnes per year of greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) and will be a locally significant GHG contributor to New Zealand's emissions profile.

The filtering of waste gases will not be able to capture particles that are smaller than PM 2.5 – the so called 'nanoparticles'. Just because the lower legal sampling size limit is PM 2.5 does not mean there is nothing smaller – its just that we are not measuring at that level. Burning plastic does not make it go "away" – it just gets smaller, smaller, smaller and smaller.

In the UK, energy from waste is on track to become the dirtiest form of electricity generation as coal and gas are phased out, and the same would be in case in New Zealand in the future.

"..analysis shows that producing electricity from waste is more carbon intensive than producing it from gas, and second only to coal. In fact, as coal is phased out, energy from waste will become the dirtiest form of electricity production in the UK. The report concludes that by 2035, incineration will be a more carbon-intensive process than even landfill."

https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2021/mar/07/revealed-why-hundreds-of-thousands-of-tonnes-of-recycling-are-going-up-in-smoke

Discharges to Water

Dioxins, heavy metals and stormwater and earthworks discharges will make their way into the Mangapiko stream.

Any filtration ponds will still have to be emptied of accumulated toxins and heavy metals – presumably to landfills – from decades of operation and contamination.

The proposal includes using 36.7m3/day (36,000 litres per day) of water to clean the furnace grate – this will create an ash slurry containing microplastics, heavy metals and dioxins and should be considered hazardous.

Washdown water is mentioned from 'highly contaminated..wash areas" which should be considered hazardous.

Waste water is mentioned as having 'some treatment on site though screening and softening', however there is no mention of any treatment for any particular compounds or where the 'managed waste facility' would actually be.

Waste water storage capacity is proposed for 5 days (180,000 litres), approximately 5-6 tanker loads. There is no mention of what would happen in the event of a plant disruption in terms of being able to store excess waste water and the risks that such an event would create.

It is not acceptable that this water is sold to other associated companies to be used as firefighting water or washdown water as suggested in the proposal. This would spread dixons, heavy metals and microplastics further afield.

Flooding

The location is a floodplain and all the modelling in this application is based on a 1 in 100 year return rate flood event – this is NOT the likelihood of a flood happening once in 100 years – it is in fact a 1% chance in ANY given year that a flood will occur. Thus it is possible to have multiple floods in a 100 year timeframe.

The company has proposed a free board of only 300mm where 500mm is required.

Climate change is likely to effect the frequency and intensity of flooding events beyond what is projected.

Insurance companies are increasingly aware of the risks of buildings on floodplains and thus <u>the incinerator may be uninsurable</u> – with the community and councils carrying any risk.

Fire risk – fire fighting and emissions

There is significant risk fire or explosion in the operation of the plant. It is becoming an increasing common occurance to have rubbish trucks catch fire owing to people disposing of lithium batteries whilst collecting Municipal waste. Instances have been regularly reported in Hamilton – 5 battery fires have occurred in Hamilton waste and rubbish trucks between May - September 2023 (https://www.rnz.co.nz/news/national/497919/warning-issued-after-two-rubbish-fires-in-as-many-weeks-caused-by-lithium-batteries-in-hamilton). In the last four years alone there have been 46 fires in rubbish trucks and municipal waste in Auckland.

Thus the risk for 460 tonnes of rubbish a day being trucked to Waipa and this plant are significant.

If any lithium batteries are incinerated this of course immediately presents a heavy metals risk and lithium batteries may not necessarily be seperated out of waste by magnets given the

plastic casings being used, and also the use of lithium batteries in childs toys and vaping equipment.

Te Awamutu's fire fighting capacity is limited as they only have a volunteer force and given this building is 38 metres high they would be unable to deal with a significant fire event – the only likely option would be waiting for extra assistance and equipment to arrive from elsewhere E.g. Hamilton.

Other issues

The applicant has no prior history or experience in the operation of an incinerator plant, which would risk poor operational outcomes and potential accidents.

The applicant has been in breach of existing resource consents for other operations.

Also there is no protection specified for any unforseen long term consequences for the community or means of financial redress in the event something untoward occurs after the plant or the company has ceased operations. Eg breach of ash storage facilities.

The councils, community, and environment will be left to deal with the costs of this proposal in purpetuity.

In Summary

Given the significance of this proposal and the concerns raised above we ask that this application be declined.

We look forward to presenting this submission in person.

Please get in touch should you have any queries regarding this submission.



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu	
I am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Man	agement Act 1991.
I am/amnet directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.	t—
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: Submission relates to the entire cuppli	cation
My submission is:	
Support parts or all of □ Oppose parts or all of □ are neutral parts or all of □ include—	
 the reasons for your views. 	
The health of human/animal welfare, the pollution effects on the T/A area, road training the inciner	air, water +
value of properties surrounding the inciner	ator.
I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general ne sought	ature of any conditions
Council to dedire the application for to operate an incremental	- 40 F.4
I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.	
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)	
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)	1
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with the	em at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not and we will <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.	t wish to be heard
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)	

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter:	aveile

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 10/10/23 Contact person: Brownyn Welten. (name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 460 Bruntwood Rd RD 1 Cambudge (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information



Waipa DISTRICT COUNCIL

1

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

	NT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited N: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
l am (am i	not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
(a) ac (b) do	directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—diversely affects the environment; and sees not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. If it is parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
The	whole application
My subm	ission is:
Support p	parts or all of
Pleas	e Sec attached
	c occ stillacitot
	e following decision from the consent authority: e details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions Decline the application in full
I wish (or	do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
d	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	t tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard vill not advise you of the date of the hearing.
Ø	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

I request*/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter: (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 11/10/202

Contact person: David (name and designation, if applicant)

I Wilson

Postal address: 20 Downes S

To Awamuty

3800

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act).

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.

Document Se 보기 무역810 Version: 1 V환경하 연결함 13/10/2023

42

Attachment to WDC Ref: LU/0323/21

David Wilson
20 Downes Street
Te Awamutu 3800

The reasons for my submission views are:

- The residents of Te Awamutu do not gain anything from having this incinerator in our town and we would be forced to live in a compromised air quality environment for the financial benefit of private corporation.
- I live in very close proximity to the proposed incinerator. Any discharge of ash, toxins, nanoparticles will affect me through the air that I breathe and/or any foods that I eat grown in the soil at my home.
- There are no current incinerators in New Zealand. Te Awamutu residents do not want our town to be a testing ground for this technology.
- The applicant, that is Global Contracting Solutions Limited does not have any experience in waste incineration.
- The Directors of Global Contracting Solutions Limited DO HAVE a track record of violating resource consent conditions.
- There has been no human health assessment provided for the residents of Te Awamutu.
- The incinerator will produce dioxins that are cancer causing these will be emitted into the air. There is NO safe level of dioxins. Dioxins build up over time in human fat tissue and in animals. I have personally lost my sister to cancer, and I am totally against anything that would increase the risk to any person developing cancer.
- Storm water being discharged into the Mangapiko Stream is likely to be contaminated with heavy metals and dioxins.
- There is NO known filtration system to eliminate all toxic products.
- The site is totally unsuitable for an incinerator.
- The location of the incinerator is immediately next to residential housing, schools, early childhood centres and food businesses.
- The application conflicts with the intentions of the Waipa District plan and growth strategy of the community
- The site is designated a high-risk flood zone.
- The incinerator will be a detrimental contributor to climate change.
- Under New Zealand's air quality standards, it is illegal to burn even one tyre because the health and environmental effects are so toxic, yet this project is proposing to burn 35000 tonnes per year.
- Additional traffic in a residential area will add to air pollution.



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited

LOCAT	ION: 401 Ra	cecourse R	oad, Te Av	wamutu					
I am n	ot* a trade co	ompetitor f	or the pur	poses of s	ection 308	BB of the Res	ource Manag	gement Act	1991.
I am di (a) (b)	adversely af	fects the e	nvironmer	nt; and		ne submissior f trade compe			
100	ecific parts o	of the appli	cation tha	t my subn	nission rel	ates to are:			
is: Advers We wi lives.	-	thing all th	affects is toxic air		is is hugel	the y detrimenta	l both to ou		onment. nd to our
Suppo include-		f 🗖 I		ll of (Tick)	□*	are neutral	parts or all of		
we will other extremediate health they It It also The Davegeta get mi	be breathing places as we nely toxic to company alrests also affects childring company bles that we	g in and aff II which wi our environ ople who a ady have cause en that are is close by are growin from them	ecting our ll affect the ment and lready have. e. e.g. sickrein schools which care gethat we	r bodies ar ne health hugely tox ve sickness asthmati nesses s nearby ar n also affec are then e	nd this tox of many of ic and det ses illness cs, hea and and who ar ct product eating. It w	Il be hugely to ic air can be a other people rimental to o es, diseases at defects, diseases e often playing that we are will also affect the can also af	also carried ralso outside ur health and and in and evented with consuming.	many miles Te Awam dour lives. ealth proble mmune en dea th all this i grass they	s away to nutu. It is It affects ems that diseases. ath n the air. affect the y eat. We
give pre sought		luding the pa	rts of the ap	plication you	u wish to ha	ve amended and			
This In		ould not be and	allowed a	and should us	l be stopp as	ed due to the people	e dangerous and	toxins in t	he air and animals
I wish	(or do not w	ish) to be h	eard in su	pport of n	ny submis	sion.			
		n to be heard ns that you wi			mission				



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4),

		F	Resource Managem	ient Act 199
(Tick)	1	choose	this	one!
I do not wish to be heard (this means that you will not be a			at the hearing)	
If others make a similar su	bmission I will conside	er presenting a joint	case with them at th	e hearing.
t tick one of the boxes ab vill <u>not</u> advise you of the d		ill be deemed that	you do not wish	to be heard
(tick)				
I have served a copy of (this is required by section 96				

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter:	
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is n	ot required if you make your submission by electronic means.)
Date: 13/10/23 Contact	
person:	
Cathy Wilson	
(name and designation, if app	licant)
Postal address:n/a	
(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):	

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information







Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

	NT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited
LOCATIO	N: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I am/am	not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
(a) a	directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that directly affects the environment; and oes not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
	ific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: $OFTHEAPPLICATIONS$
NA	
My subm	nission is:
Support i	parts or all of Goppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of Goppose
•	the reasons for your views.
give precise	e following decision from the consent authority: details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions OECLINE APPLICATION
wish (or	do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
B	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard ill <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.
o/	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter: (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 12-10-23 Contact person: LAVINIA WOODS (name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 315 CHURCHILL RD, TE AWAMUTU

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information







Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

	NT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited N: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
	not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act
(a) a	not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—dversely affects the environment; and
	oes not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
	ific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
My subn	nission is:
Support include—	parts or all of Oppose parts of of Oppose pa
•	the reasons for your views.
	See attached.
	e following decision from the consent authority: e details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions Decline அறிக்கில்
I wish (o	do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
Œ	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	t tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard vill not advise you of the date of the hearing.
Ø	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

I request/do not request* pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submore of submore of the submore of t			<u>/////////</u> bmitter) (A si		uired if you ma	ke your submission by electronic means.)
Date: <u>////0/2</u>	023		tact perse	on: Kel	ly watts	
Postal address:	4	Sinead	Court,	Grandview	Heights,	Hamitton
for alternative method i					,	

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- · it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- · it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who
 is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

Ko Kelly Watts tõku ingoa Nõ Te Awamutu tõku tīpuna Kei te noho au ki Kirikiriroa

My name is Kelly Watts and Te Awamutu is my hometown. I now live in Hamilton with my husband and baby son. I have strong ties to Te Awamutu having spent my entire childhood there, I attended Te Awamutu College and as many of my family still reside here, I visit often. I care deeply about Te Awamutu and its people and wish to preserve the Waikato environment for my son and future generations.

I am writing to you regarding the proposed Waste to Energy Incinerator for Racecourse Road. My submission relates to the whole application made by Global Contracting Solutions Limited. I **oppose** both resource consent applications lodged with the Waipa District Council and Waikato Regional Council. I want the Waipa District Council and the Waikato Regional Council to **decline** these applications. I would like to be heard in support of my submission.

The reasons why I oppose the resource consent applications are that I believe the proposal will have a strong negative impact in regard to human health, pollution, increased hazards and risks in addition to irreversible repercussions for the environment, biodiversity and climate change. This proposal will have far-reaching impacts. It does not just affect those in the immediate vicinity, it will impact all of Te Awamutu, Waikato, New Zealand and the world. I have detailed some of the rationale:

- The incineration plant is a hazardous facility with serious risks of harm to human health. The plant will emit cancer-causing dioxins and furans, sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxide, mercury and particulate matter will be released into the air.
- Dioxins damage the human immune system and cause cancer. Studies have shown direct links to non-Hodgkins lymphoma, increases in risks of miscarriages and pre-term delivery of babies. There are links to reduced male fertility. Exposure to particulate matter impacts those with respiratory problems such as asthma, cardiovascular issues, the elderly and children.
- Under NZ's air quality standards, it is illegal to burn even one tyre because the health and environmental effects are so toxic - yet, this project is proposing to burn 35,000 tonnes a year. Burning tyres emit cyanide, carbon monoxide, sulphur dioxide, and products of butadiene and styrene.
- There is no human health assessment of this proposal.
- The incinerator will produce dioxins that are cancer-causing even in extremely low levels. These will be emitted into the air and will settle on the land and in the water.
 There is no safe level of dioxins, and these "bio-accumulate" - meaning that over time they build up in human fat tissue and in animals.
- It is not appropriate to have an incinerator burning millions of tyres next to a milk production facility.
- The location of a heavy industrial operation immediately next to existing and planned residential housing, schools and food businesses, and operating 24 hours/day, 7 days/week is not appropriate and conflicts with the intentions of the Waipā District Plan and Growth Strategy for the community.
- This area is not identified as an area for industrial development in the District Plan.
 Two areas are identified for industrial growth: Bond Road and Paterangi Road.

- The new incinerator buildings would increase flooding spread to the Fonterra factory
 and houses on Factory Road, numbers 331-467. The company wants to build its
 building lower than existing requirements (because it will cost them a lot more money
 to build to the required levels). This will mean an even greater risk to the community.
 Insurance companies are warning New Zealanders not to build on floodplains due to
 climate change.
- The incinerator will use non-renewable feedstock (plastic waste, tyres, mixed solid waste & flock) to create energy: this is equivalent to a fossil fuel production plant, but much dirtier and riskier because of the different composition of the materials. The addition of non-renewable energy from waste works against efforts to decarbonise the energy sector. The incinerator will be a massive contributor to climate change. It will directly add about 150 kilotons per year of CO2. The facility would have a carbon footprint many times greater than the same amount of waste being sent to landfills.
- The incinerator would produce 23 tonnes/day of toxic ash which has to be landfilled.
 Incinerator ash contains heavy metals, microplastics and dioxins.
- There is no risk assessment of the possibility of fire or explosion despite the storage of hazardous materials and highly flammable feedstock. The community only has a volunteer fire brigade.
- Te Awamutu will need to import almost all of the material for this facility from outside of the district. This is not a proposal for the benefit of the community.
- The inclusion of 35,058 tonnes of plastic (as well as a considerable portion of MSW that includes plastic) does not align with the recently released National Plastics Action Plan for Aotearoa New Zealand by the Ministry for the Environment.
- Incineration does not replace the need for landfills instead, it takes ordinary materials and concentrates them into more toxic ash.
- The Waipā District Council has a great waste minimisation plan and opportunities for more comprehensive zero-waste strategies that would fit with the goals of minimising waste while meeting community aspirations for a healthy environment, job creation and mitigation of climate emissions.
- Investing hundreds of millions of dollars into an incinerator locks in the need for continued production of waste, meaning the community misses out on other waste uses further up the waste hierarchy (like reuse, repair and repurposing).
- There will be significant additional traffic in a residential area, adding to air pollution and impacting those who are old, very young and immunocompromised. It will change the nature of the community from a quiet residential street to an unsafe and busy thoroughfare of trucks at all hours of the day and night.



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
→ amp/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I am/am-not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
All of the Application.
My submission is:
Support parts or all of ☐ Oppose parts or all of ☐ are neutral parts or all of ☐ include—
the reasons for your views. Pont Pollue our fir
Valuation of property
I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought Peluse Application
I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
☐ ✓ If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Present/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 12/10/023

Contact person:

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address:

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited - Application number Lu/0323/21. LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu	
I amm/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991	ĸ
I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.	
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: My sub mission relates to the whole application.	
My submission is:	
Support parts or all of ☐ Oppose parts or all of ☐ are neutral parts or all of ☐ include— • the reasons for your views.	
Incineration is out dated thinking. For too many negative impacts in very of air and worter pollution. Noise pollution and damage to roads from heavy truck movements. I personally suffer severe emphysema and am concorne about air-pollution.	pec
I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought 9 oppose this application- 9 want the Waipa District Council to decline this application.	
I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.	
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)	
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)	
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.	
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.	
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.	

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

do not request, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter: (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)
Date: 9/10/23. Contact person: Jonathan Watson (name and designation, if applicant)
Postal address: 36 Laurie Street, Te Awamufu, 3800. (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):
a continue Come une como con tribano
a suffer from severe emphysema and live within a 1 km vadius of proposed incinerator. The air pollution discharge is potentially highly dangerous to the air we breathe and contamination of surrounding land and the follow-on effects through the food chain.
incinerator. The air pollution discharge is potentially rightly daily tous to the
are breathe and contamination of surrounding land and the follow-on
The proposed set is in close proximing to forthern dating the form construction
The proposed site is in close proximity to fonterva dairy factory, early childhood education centres. Te Wanaga O Acteroa, Maori school, many residential houses and pasture for form animals
Last Mark and Maca
Water pollution through discharge of waste water into the Mangapiho Stream. Fir pollution impacting on native bird flight pulls between MT Pirongia and
Maunga tantavi Ecological Island.
fir and noise pollution from the large number of heavy truck movements, as well as damage to the roads.
as damage to the roads.
Incineration is an out-dated and poor choice of electricity generation in
Incineration is an out-dated and poor choice of electricity generation in comparison with venerable forms such as solar, wind and hydro generation.
Legicling of materials is the key to waste minimigration and investment
Lecycling of materials is the key to waste minimination and investment ; hould be made in vecycling strategies to ve-purpose much of what is invently going to land fill, and proposed to be bount in the incinerator.
invently going to land fill, and proposed to be bount in the incinerator.



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

- I'm concerned the incinerator will emit cancer-causing dioxins, heavy metals, and microplastics and their impact on our air, land, and water.
- There is a lack of assessment for land contamination and the potential risks associated with heavy metals and other toxins.
- Stormwater discharge, contamination, and potential impacts into the Mangapiko Stream and the potential contamination of waterways are alarming.
- The proposal does not address the risks and dangers of burning tires and the toxicity of zinc oxide on aquatic life.

D. Inappropriate Land Use:

- The site's current designation is unsuitable for a waste incinerator, especially given its current "Specialised Dairy Industrial Area" designation.
- o Placing an incinerator near a milk production facility and residential areas is incompatible.
- The proposed location conflicts with the intentions of the Waipā District Plan and Growth Strategy for the community.

E. Flooding:

- The entire site status is a floodplain, presenting significant risks to the community due to floodplain development.
- o Straightened rivers and lower building levels increase the risk of flooding spread.
- o I'm concerned about the potential climate change, insurance implications, and community cleanup costs due to the floodplain location and flood-related risks.
- o I'm concerned about the potential impact of incinerator buildings on flooding spread to the Fonterra factory and nearby houses.

F. Climate Change:

- The incinerator uses non-renewable feedstock, contradicting efforts to decarbonise the energy sector as it contributes to climate change.
- It will substantially contribute to climate change, with the facility releasing CO2 and having a larger footprint than landfills.

G. Hazards, Risks, Toxic Ash, and Byproducts:

- Toxic ash production poses significant disposal and contamination challenges.
- o Storage of hazardous substances on-site raises spill and contamination risks.
- The lack of a risk assessment for potential fires or explosions is concerning for our community.
- I'm concerned about our community safety in our location as we're only served by a volunteer fire brigade.

H. Feedstock, Waste Minimisation, Zero Waste Alternatives:

- o The importation of feedstock from outside the district is not in our community's interest.
- Uncertainty about feedstock sources and recycling concerns are alarming.
- Better waste minimisation strategies could benefit the community and environment.
- I'm concerned about the lack of contracts for feedstock delivery and the potential for burning recyclable materials.

I. Human Health:

- o I'm concerned about a lack of a human health assessment, a major oversight.
- Hazardous emissions from the incinerator pose serious health risks, including cancer and respiratory problems. Numerous studies have linked dioxins to cancer reproductive, and respiratory problems.

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter: (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 11/10/23 Contact person: Savah WWY (name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 28A Oliver Sweet, Kihikihi, Te Awamuty (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information







I

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu	
Araga/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 30	8B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
 I am/amod directly affected by an effect of the subject matter (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of the 	
The specific parts of the application that my submission related to the whole application	es to are:
My submission is:	
Support parts or all of ☐ Oppose parts or all of ☐ are include— the reasons for your views.	neutral parts or all of
Council to Decline this opposed health of neighbours of continuing road main tainence, I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have sought	and contaminated worker u
To oppose the whole appli	cation and want it
l wish (ar do not wish) to be heard in support of my submissio	on.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)	
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing ar	nd will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider prese	nting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be dand we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.	eemed that you do not wish to be heard
I have served a copy of my submission on the appli (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Manager	

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

		alf of submitter) (A signature is not required if y	 vou make your submissio	on by electronic means.)
Date:	13-10-23	Contact person:(name and designation, if applicant)	Angie	Warwick
	address:ative method of service und	der section 352 of the Act):		

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

PHI OI

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.

60



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited

LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

ham/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am/am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:

My submission relates to the whole application.

My submiss	ion is:			•		
Support part	ts or all of		Oppose parts or all of		are neutral parts or all of	
•	the red	isons j	for your views.			
Kia ora						

I'm Sarah, a resident of the beautiful Waipā region. I wanted to share my heartfelt concerns and reasons for opposing the application from Global Contracting Solutions Limited to build a waste-to-energy plant at 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu. This proposal hits close to home for my family and our wellbeing, as I work nearby, and my son attends nearby Pekapekarau Primary School, so we're deeply connected to this community. Here are some key points I'd like to raise in my submission:

A. Untested Technology:

- I'm concerned about the untested nature of the waste-to-energy technology, especially since New Zealand lacks experience with municipal solid waste incinerators.
- The proposed technology is untested in our country.
- Te Awamutu shouldn't be a testing ground for this new and potentially hazardous technology.
- Global Contracting Solutions' lack of experience in waste incineration is concerning.
- A similar, larger proposal for a waste-to-energy incinerator in Waimate, South Canterbury, has been "called in" by the Minister for the Environment partly because this is new technology with national implications.

B. Cultural Impact:

- The application lacks a formal Cultural Impact Assessment.
- Full informed consent from Iwi and Hapū is essential, including disclosure of potential health and environmental impacts.
- The proposed incinerator could undermine efforts like Taiea te Taiao, affecting ecological corridors and biodiversity.
- C. Air, Water, Land Pollution and Emissions:





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

 The project could contribute to premature deaths and severe health issues in the community.

In conclusion, I firmly believe this proposed waste-to-energy plant is not in the best interests of our community, the environment, or future generations. I strongly urge the Waipā District Council to consider these concerns seriously and prioritise the wellbeing of our community over this project.

Noho ora mai,

Sarah

I seek the following decision from the consent authority:

give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought

I strongly oppose this application. I want the Waipā District Council to decline this application.

I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.

- I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
- I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
- If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

- I'm concerned the incinerator will emit cancer-causing dioxins, heavy metals, and microplastics and their impact on our air, land, and water.
- There is a lack of assessment for land contamination and the potential risks associated with heavy metals and other toxins.
- Stormwater discharge, contamination, and potential impacts into the Mangapiko Stream and the potential contamination of waterways are alarming.
- The proposal does not address the risks and dangers of burning tires and the toxicity of zinc oxide on aquatic life.

D. Inappropriate Land Use:

- The site's current designation is unsuitable for a waste incinerator, especially given its current "Specialised Dairy Industrial Area" designation.
- o Placing an incinerator near a milk production facility and residential areas is incompatible.
- The proposed location conflicts with the intentions of the Waipā District Plan and Growth Strategy for the community.

E. Flooding:

- The entire site status is a floodplain, presenting significant risks to the community due to floodplain development.
- Straightened rivers and lower building levels increase the risk of flooding spread.
- I'm concerned about the potential climate change, insurance implications, and community cleanup costs due to the floodplain location and flood-related risks.
- I'm concerned about the potential impact of incinerator buildings on flooding spread to the Fonterra factory and nearby houses.

F. Climate Change:

- The incinerator uses non-renewable feedstock, contradicting efforts to decarbonise the energy sector as it contributes to climate change.
- It will substantially contribute to climate change, with the facility releasing CO2 and having a larger footprint than landfills.

G. Hazards, Risks, Toxic Ash, and Byproducts:

- o Toxic ash production poses significant disposal and contamination challenges.
- Storage of hazardous substances on-site raises spill and contamination risks.
- The lack of a risk assessment for potential fires or explosions is concerning for our community.
- I'm concerned about our community safety in our location as we're only served by a volunteer fire brigade.

H. Feedstock, Waste Minimisation, Zero Waste Alternatives:

- o The importation of feedstock from outside the district is not in our community's interest.
- Uncertainty about feedstock sources and recycling concerns are alarming.
- Better waste minimisation strategies could benefit the community and environment.
- I'm concerned about the lack of contracts for feedstock delivery and the potential for burning recyclable materials.

I. Human Health:

- I'm concerned about a lack of a human health assessment, a major oversight.
- Hazardous emissions from the incinerator pose serious health risks, including cancer and respiratory problems. Numerous studies have linked dioxins to cancer reproductive, and respiratory problems.

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf mitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Contact person:

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address:

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- · it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- · it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.





Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on: **APPLICANT'S NAME:** Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu I may am not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991. I am/ adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: My submission is: **Support** parts or all of \square Oppose parts or all of includethe reasons for your views. atlached I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought Decline application I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission. V I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing) I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing) If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing. You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing. W I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

//o not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter:	f of submitter) (A signature is no		ubmission by electronic i	means.)
Date: 12/10/23	Contact person:(name and designation, if app	Michelle	William	6 '
Postal address:	Gateway r section 352 of the Act):	DR Te A	wande	3800

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information



WDC REF.

Submission - Paewira Waste to Energy Incineration Plant.

If the building of this plant is to go ahead, it will be the first in New Zealand.

I feel that there are too many unanswered questions especially as there appears to be a lack of "assessments" done by Global Contracting Solutions (GCS) eg:-

- -No Environmental Impact Assessment,
- -No Health Impact Assessment,
- -No Smell Assessment.
- -No Risk Impact Assessment,
- -No Land/Storm Water Assessment.

This does not instil confidence in GCS building this incinerator using untested technology on a High Risk Floodplain. This site is also NOT DESIGNATED INDUSTRIAL. As far as I am aware, this company has no prior experience in this type of business.

Te Awamutu News, dated September 2023, stated that GCS "would use proven sustainable waste minimisation technologies". INCINERATION !!!. This process is archaic in this day in age with proof that it contributes to climate change/ global warming. This Incinerator will produce dioxins which will be admitted into the air, hence my concerns regarding the impact of these dioxins on our land & water, which in turn will affect our food source.

Apparently, the incinerator will produce a toxic ash consisting of heavy metals, micro plastics & dioxins. This is to be dumped into landfill with the risk of contaminating the dump site. This is a complete contradiction to "clean ,green New Zealand".

My understanding is that non-renewable products of differing compositions will be incinerated, e.g tyres, plastics as well as household rubbish. The amount of rubbish required, will mean that it will come from not only the Waipa area, but from further afield. If the rubbish trucks (& we are under the impression that there will be hundreds each day) are coming from the South, then these trucks will have to go through the Te Awamutu township.

Also a reminder that the proposed site is in an existing residential area (with proposed new subdivision) shared with schools, childcare, food businesses, & horse training facilities. This proposed incinerator does not fit into the landscape as it conflicts with what is already there & will impact greatly on the entire area & the locals due to the noise of the build (excavating the land etc) & the constant traffic. I am of the understanding that this incinerator will be running 24 hours a day should GCS succeed.

It has surprised me that the application to build this "incinerator" has got this far. There are so many negatives to this build, not only to the Te Awamutu community (people wanting to move here, increase in jobs – I have my doubts), wear & tear on our roads, contamination of our paddocks & waterways therefore affecting our food etc. The wrong decision here, could affect the next generations to come.

Waipa DISTRICT COUNCIL

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited

LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

I am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:

My family and I live in the Waipa and therefore will be exposed to dioxins emitted from the incinerator, potentially having an adverse effect on our health.

My	SU	bm	ISS	ion	IS:

Support parts or all of include—			Oppose parts or all of are n	are neutral parts or all of		
include—	the red	isons f	or your views.			

My submission relates to the whole application. I oppose this application and want the Waikato District Council to decline this application. A suburban area, close to schools, kindergartens and daycare centres should not be the testing ground for this new technology in New Zealand. I'm extremely concerned about the damage that this proposal will have on our environment and the overall outlook of the Waipa as a desirable place to live and work in.

I seek the following decision from the consent authority:

give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought

My submission relates to the whole application. I oppose this application and want the Waikato District Council to decline this application.

I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.

J	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.



I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter (or person authorised to sign of	: on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if	you make your submission by	v electronic means.)
Date:	Contact person: (name and designation, if applicant)	Kathryn	Wilkinson
Postal address:	ice under section 352 of the Act):		

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited

LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:

The whole application					
My submission is:					
Support parts or all of	of 🗸 ?	are neutral parts or all of	?		
\Box the reasons for your views.					

I am a born an bred local with a young family, I have lived in the area most of my life and have always loved our clean green "keep our town beautiful" motto. I live in kihikihi now but frequent Te Awamutu almost daily to grocery shop, use the many parks, just to take my baby for walks or to drop off and pick up my teenagers from multiple different activities.

I can not for the life of me understand what is going through the minds of the humans that thought to put such a factory smack bang in the middle our rural farming town, and so close to residents, childcare services and our milk factory

My main issues with this

- is the toxins that will be produced from the incinerator and the risk to the citizens of the town and their health.
- the eye sore that this will create for those that live so close to the area for example.. those on taylors hill and for the subdivisions going on in the area
- The effects the earthworks will have on the river system
- The storing of thousands of tons of rubbish so close to homes
- The potential risks of flooding due to it being a flood prone area
- The carbon footprint of the project
- The by products that will be produced and where they will be disposed of
- The smell from the incinerator



DISTRIC	Resource Management Act 199
	ne following decision from the consent authority: ise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions
I want th	he Waipa District Council to decline this application
I wish (c	or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish	to be heard in support of my submission
	(this means that you will speak at the hearing) ✔ ② ✔ ②
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	✓ ② ✓ ② If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
BALL THE STATE OF	st tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard will not advise you of the date of the hearing. 1 have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.
	(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

I do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signat	ure of submitter:	
(or perso	on authorised to sign or	n behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)
D .	11 - 1 2022	Control of Marie
Date:	_11 oct 2023	Contact person: Jenni Williams
		(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 9d haultain st kihikihi 3800

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991
 I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The proposed wilding of a waste incinerator pant in te Awamstu
My submission is:
Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of include— the reasons for your views.
Hazardous air emissions. Thousands of tonnes of PMIO and PM25 released into the air. Serious risk to health, Carcinogenic discrete and products of lectadene and styrene. Massile carbon fol prids [seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought To not gue approval of the bibling of this
W.C. NECKS
wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
ou must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard nd we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 10.10.223 Contact person: Sarah Londe Walker (name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.



74





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

APPLICA	ANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited
LOCATI	ON: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
l am/ am	n not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
lam/an	n not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—
. ,	adversely affects the environment; and
(b) V	does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
-	cific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
The	proposed building of a waste incinesator plant in TeAwamuty
-	
My subr	mission is:
Sunnort	parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of O
include—	parts of an or said Oppose parts of an or said are neutral parts of an or said
•	the reasons for your views.
	are all and some of property of the state of the
nazara	ous air emissions. Thousand of tonnes of Pmio and Pmz. 5 reteased into the lous risk to health. Carcinogenic dioxin. Burning tyres emission of cyanide
carbon	propriete suppose divide and products of touted ince and objects
massiv	e carbon fook prints. noise of Erucks, too heavy for our roads, given the
I seek th	monoxide, supplier dioxide and products of butadiene and styrene: e carbon fook prints. noise of trucks, too heavy for our roads, given the nt expected to drive into Te Awamuty property values. e following decision from the consent authority:
	re details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions
sought	
Dor	vot give approval of the building of this incinerator.
I wish (o	r do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission
<u> </u>	(this means that you will speak at the hearing)
Ø	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission
-	(this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
Vou mus	t tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard
	vill not advise you of the date of the hearing.
I	I have served a capy of my submission on the smallest
. ITA	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:	Barbara Warburton	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(or person authorised to sign on b	ehalf of submitter) (A signature is not red	quired if you make your submission by electronic means.)
Date: 10.10.2023	Contact person: Sou	ne
	(name and designation, if applican	t)
Postal address: same		
(or alternative method of service	under section 352 of the Act):	

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

	AME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
	trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
(a) adversel	ectly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— y affects the environment; and relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific par The ad Commercia Te Arvou	ts of the application that my submission relates to are: verse health and environmental effects of this al incinerator on the whole community of nutre
My submission	is:
Support parts or include—	all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of
• t/	ne reasons for your views.
Adverse	health deterior ation i.e. increase of respiratory illness
give precise details, sought 1 See K C	ving decision from the consent authority: including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions a new location away from the township of amusty due to the negative health and ment effects.
I wish (or do no	t wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
	wish to be heard in support of my submission neans that you will speak at the hearing)
	not wish to be heard in support of my submission eans that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
☐ If oth	ners make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	ne of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard advise you of the date of the hearing.
I hav	re served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 10/10/23

Contact person: Doreen Whittaker
(name and designation, if applicant)
Te Tomo Street Te Awamuty, 3800

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

APP	LICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited ATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I ama	'am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
(a) (b)	am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— adversely affects the environment; and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
	pecific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
My su	bmission is:
uppo nclude-	rt parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of
rciude	the reasons for your views.
oxici t	tyinenvir annut, health, location/proximity to child care schools
ught	Decline of application Oecline of application
ish (o	r do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
0	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
Ø	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
0	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY.	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard ill <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.
d	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF	

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 10/10/2023 Contact person:

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 10/2023 Ango Lawo M 3800

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information







Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: All of the Application The Te Awamyta incinerates
My submission is:
Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of include— the reasons for your views.
Due To All The Polutions Mis Plant
I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought The want The wart (egicne Council to Decime The Application of the
I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (W) (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter: Washern

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 13/10/23 Contact person: Jack waghern

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 37 Wood(ands Lam Te Awamufu

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information







Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited	
LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu	
am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act	1991.
I am/ammet directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—	
 (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. 	
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:	
All of the application	
My submission is:	
Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of include—	
the reasons for your views.	
Pollution Heath hazards	
Heath hazards	
I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any con	ditions
I oppose this application and this application should be declines.	
be declines.	
wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.	
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)	
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)	
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the heari	ng.
ou must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be lead to the lead of the date of the hearing.	neard
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)	

Signature o	f submitter:	Cina	Willes	

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: M 10/23 Contact person: Cha Wilke (name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 263 Te Mawhai Pond, RDJ, Te Awarity

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information







Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
And am not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
 I am/ directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: ALL OF THE APPLICATION.
My submission is:
Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of include—
the reasons for your views.
Vermin, Pollution, Noise Pollution, Carcogens, Heath Hazards: More trucks in residential areas
I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought I oppose this application, I want the Wayoa District Council. to Decline this application
wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission
(this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Contact person: Kabert Stuar (name and designation, if applicant)

Te Mawhai Pogd, RDJ

(or alternative method of service under section 35

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information







Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4).

Resource Management Act 1991

This is a s	submission on:
	NT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited N: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
⊥am /am r	not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991
(a) ac	directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— diversely affects the environment; and hes not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
Cond.	fic parts of the application that my submission relates to are: amaton of soil Woder in Mangapiko Steam. amaton of ow town. Noise from trucks.
My subm	
Support p include— •	the reasons for your views.
give precise so <mark>ugh</mark> t	following decision from the consent authority: details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions seek entre plan to stop immediatley.
I wish (or	do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission. I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
Ø	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
1,725072,0002,000	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard ill not advise you of the date of the hearing.
	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)

margaret Wheeler

Date: 12/10/2023

Contact person:

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 216 Bridgman Rd Je Awamdy 3800

(or alternative method of service under section 35% of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.

Document Set ID. Quipe
Version: 1. Version Date: 17/10/2023

88





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

	T'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited I: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
	ot* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I am/am n (a) ad	ot directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—versely affects the environment; and es not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specif	ic parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
My submi	ssion is:
Support pa	arts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of O
•	the reasons for your views.
PLODA	ve all ports of Teamandy
Deval	ue all ports of TeamAndu
	Loweling STANDARD
give precise o	following decision from the consent authority: details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions Decline Application
wish (or o	lo not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
d	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
a	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	ick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard not advise you of the date of the hearing.
A	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

2023 Contact person: JUDE WHITE
(name and designation, if applicant)

143 Bond Road Teau Amuli

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Form 1

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu Lam/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991. I am/amnot directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment; and (a) (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. % The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: tiucksor extia My submission is: **Support** parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of includethe reasons for your views. land use and High Kisk Flood Zone. I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions and (designation) of land for building I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission. I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing) M I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing) If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing. You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard

M

and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 10 10 28 Contact person:

(name and designation, if applicant)

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act).

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information







Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: This is untested technology and Te awamutu does not want to be the testing ground The Te awamutu Infa structure will become over loaded.
My submission is:
Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of include—
the reasons for your views.
The air, water and pollution emissions have dire consequences on local population and area
seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought Toppose this application. I wont the Warpa Council to decline this application.
wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
ou must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard not we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:	If of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)
Date: 13 10 13	Contact person: Websta (name and designation, if applicant)
Postal address: 185	Reynolds Drive Te awamutu

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information







Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I am am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
ALL of the Application
My submission is:
Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of include—
the reasons for your views.
follution to Air, Nater, Land
Harmful Emisions, Toxic & Harardous to the
I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought OPOSE TO THIS Application Maior Council MUST DECLINE THIS Application
wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 13-10-24

Contact person:

name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: POBOX 32

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited

LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

I am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:

The entire application					
My submission is:					
Support parts or all of include—		Oppose parts or all of	V	are neutral parts or all of	

- the reasons for your views.
- To want to build an enormous industrial plant to burn over 400 tonnes of rubbish per day, including old tyres, plastics, and flock, especially from a company that has a track record of breaching Resource Consent, is outrageous. These plants have no place in Te Awamutu and no place in NZ.
- Page 46 of the submission states there will be 378 vehicle movements each day, including 60 HPMV movements. This is right through a residential area with childcare centres on this road. This cannot be allowed to happen.
- This proposal breaches the allowance for noise levels particularly for the residents at 417 and 318 Racecourse Road. Most of this noise will be from the 60 or so heavy vehicle movements. The planned method to achieve compliance is to build acoustic screens (walls) of 2.5m and 3.5m at the boundaries of these properties? This is not an acceptable solution, the effects are certainly not less than minor as stated on page 78, and one has to wonder is the applicant would be agreeable to this if it was to be built in front of their own residential property?
- There is no human health assessment in this proposal. This proposal indicates that the plant will knowingly be emitting carcinogenic particles including dioxins, sulphur dioxide, and mercury as well as others into the air in close proximity to not only the surrounding residents and childcare centres but the entire town of Te Awamutu. Absolutely NOT acceptable in any way shape or form, regardless of the mitigations and filter systems that the plant is proposing to use.
- This is a completely inappropriate use of land that is not only close to residents and childcare centres but is in a high-risk flood zone.



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

	he following decision from the consent authority: ise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions
I want V	Vaipa District Council to decline this application
I wish (c	or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
_	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
V I	do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	st tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard will not advise you of the date of the hearing.
 ✓I	have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:	
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if y	ou make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 10/10/2023

Contact person: Douglas Warwick

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 142 Lorne Street Te Awamutu 3800 (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information



Waipa DISTRICT COUNCIL

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited

LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:

_Waipa District Council (WDC) — Reference LU/0323/21 To construct and operate a 'Waste to Energy' plant, which would burn rubbish that would be used to generate electric power and Waikato Regional Council(WRC) Reference APP143988

NAME OF THE	hmicc	NOI	10
Mv su	UHHSS	IUII	13.

Support parts or all of	Oppose all of	are neutral parts or all of	
include—			

• the reasons for your views.

This is not a facility that should be built in a residential area or neighbouring area. The increased level of trucks going to the proposed site would require the trucks to be driven through residential areas. This should not be allowed as it would be detrimental to the enjoyment of life from living in those areas. Dust and dirt levels would increase from the increased traffic.

House prices in the area would decrease, affecting the current housing owners

The Waipa District Council have already shown that their own recycling plant can't contain the material that is delivered to it, this site will be no different and should not be allowed to affect neighbouring properties.

The site is in a flood prone area and would have disastrous effects if the site were to suffer a flood. Having an incinerator in Te Awamutu will reverse any "clean green" image we have.

I seek the following decision from the consent authority:

give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought

Decline the application

I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.

I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
✓ If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.

✓I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.
(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Notes to submitter

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

